

Ramification of local fields with imperfect residue fields

AHMED ABBES AND TAKESHI SAITO

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We define two decreasing filtrations by ramification groups on the absolute Galois group of a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field may not be perfect. In the classical case where the residue field is perfect, we recover the classical upper numbering filtration. The definition uses rigid geometry and log-structures. We also establish some of their properties.

1 Introduction

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field, and let G be the Galois group of a separable closure Ω . Classically the ramification filtration of G is defined in the case where the residue field of K is perfect ([12], Chapter IV). In this paper, we define without any assumption on the residue field, two ramification decreasing filtrations of G and study some of their properties. Our first filtration, $(G^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$, satisfies the following properties:

- (i) for a rational number $0 < a \leq 1$, G^a is the inertia subgroup of G ;
- (ii) $G^{1+} = \overline{\cup_{a>1} G^a}$ is the wild inertia subgroup of G ;
- (iii) it is stable by unramified extensions of K ;
- (iv) it coincides with the classical upper numbering ramification filtration shifted by one, if the residue field of K is perfect.

When the residue field of K is perfect, the classical ramification filtration is stable by tame base change of K , in the sense of (iii') below. In general, our ramification filtration (shifted by -1) is not stable by tame base change. We define a second filtration of G , the logarithmic ramification filtration $(G_{\log}^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$, that has this property. It satisfies the following:

- (ii') $G_{\log}^{0+} = \overline{\cup_{a>0} G_{\log}^a}$ is the wild inertia subgroup of G ;
- (iii') let K' be a finite separable extension of K of ramification index m , contained in Ω . We identify the Galois group $G_{K'} = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K')$ with a subgroup of G . Then, we have $G_{K', \log}^{ma} \subset G_{\log}^a$, with equality if K'/K is tamely ramified;
- (iv') it coincides with the classical upper numbering ramification filtration, if the residue field of K is perfect.

We prove that both filtrations are left continuous and their jumps are rational. More precisely, for a real number $a > 0$, we put $G^{a+} = \bigcup_{b>a} G^b$ and $G^{a-} = \bigcap_{b<a} G^b$, where b denotes a rational number. Then $G^{a-} = G^a$ if a is rational, and $G^{a-} = G^{a+}$ if a is not rational. The same result holds true for the logarithmic filtration.

In classical ramification theory, the following results play an important role:

- 1) the graded pieces $(G^a/G^{a+}, \text{ for } a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>1})$ are abelian and killed by the residue characteristic of $\mathcal{O}_K$.
- 2) the Hasse-Arf theorem.

Towards the first problem, we give a geometric interpretation of the graded pieces of our first ramification filtration. In a forthcoming paper, we will investigate the second problem by comparing our theory with Kato's ramification theory for rank one Galois characters [10].

In the following, we describe our constructions in more detail. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the Galois category of finite étale extensions of K , equipped with its fiber functor $\mathcal{F}(L) = \text{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$. The idea is to construct directly the functor $\mathcal{F}^a(L) = \mathcal{F}(L)/G^a$, before defining G^a . Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the valuation ring of K , $\mathcal{O}$ be the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in Ω , and for a rational number $a > 0$, let $\pi^a \in \mathcal{O}$ be an element of valuation $\text{ord}_K(\pi^a) = a$. For a finite separable extension L of K with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_L$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(L) = \varprojlim_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O}).$$

Roughly speaking, we define $\mathcal{F}^a(L)$ as the set of connected components of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O})$ for some topology. More precisely, let Z be a finite system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and let I_Z be the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$. Let D^n be the n -dimensional closed polydisc of radius one. For a rational number $a > 0$, we define the K -affinoid variety

$$X_Z^a = D^n(|\pi|^{-a} f; f \in I_Z),$$

as an affinoid sub-domain of D^n . The set of its Ω -valued points is given by

$$X_Z^a(\Omega) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{O}^n; \text{ord}_K f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq a \text{ for all } f \in I_Z\}.$$

The natural map $X_Z^a(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O})$ is surjective, and its fibers are discs. Hence, we define $\mathcal{F}^a(L)$ as the set of geometric connected components of X_Z^a . We will show that the latter does not depend on Z . We construct the group G^a from the functor $\mathcal{F}^a$ by general Galois theory. Concretely, if L is a finite Galois extension of K contained in Ω , $G(L/K)$ is the Galois group of L/K , and $(G(L/K)^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$ is the quotient filtration of $(G^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$, then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{F}^a(L) \simeq G(L/K)/G(L/K)^a.$$

We develop also logarithmic analogues of these constructions.

Acknowledgments. We would like to acknowledge the deep influence of M. Raynaud at various stages of this work, particularly in Sections 7 and 8. It is a pleasure to thank him for his help and encouragements, for pointing out a mistake in a first version of this paper, and for helping to repair it. We would like to thank K. Fujiwara for telling us ideas on the definition of the ramification filtration in his unpublished work. A. Abbes wants to thank B. Poonen for the invitation to the University of California at Berkeley, and T. Saito for the invitation to the University of Tokyo. T. Saito wants to thank the Max-Planck Institut, the University of Essen, and the University of Paris-Nord where the joint work was started, for their invitations.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Filtration on Galois categories	3
3	The main constructions	4
3.1	The non-logarithmic construction	5
3.2	The logarithmic construction	6
4	Normalized integral models of affinoid varieties	10

5	Continuity of connected components	11
6	Ramification of complete intersection rings	14
6.1	Example : monogenic extensions	17
6.2	Proofs of 3.3, 3.7 and 3.8	18
7	Deformation to the special fiber	19
8	Ramification and Newton polygons	21
9	The logarithmic ramification filtration	25
9.1	Base change	27
9.2	Proofs of 3.11, 3.15 and 3.16	30
A	Eliminating fierce ramification	30

Notation. For a finite separable extension L of K , we denote by $\mathcal{O}_L$, $\mathfrak{m}_L$, $\overline{L}$, π_L and v_L , respectively, the valuation ring, its maximal ideal, the residue field, a uniformizing element, and the normalized valuation of L . The integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in Ω is denoted by $\mathcal{O}$, and its residue field by $\overline{\Omega}$. We denote by v the unique extension of v_K to Ω , and define an ultra-metric norm on Ω by $|x| = \theta^{v(x)}$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ is a real number. We fix a uniformizer π of $\mathcal{O}_K$. By abuse of notation, π^a denotes an element of $\mathcal{O}$ of valuation $v(\pi^a) = a$.

For a positive integer n , the n -dimensional closed polydisc of radius one is denoted by D^n . For a finite set I of cardinality n , we put $D^I = D^n$, where the natural coordinates are indexed by I . For a rational number $a > 0$, the n -dimensional closed polydisc of radius a is denoted by $D^{n,(a)}$.

2 Filtration on Galois categories

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ be a Galois category. Namely, there exists a profinite group G such that the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets is an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}$ and the category of finite sets with continuous G -actions [11].

Proposition 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets with continuous G -actions, that commutes with finite disjoint unions. Let $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ be a morphism of functors satisfying the following properties:*

- 1) $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X)$ is surjective for any object X in $\mathcal{C}$;
- 2) for any morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is surjective, the following diagram is cocartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{F}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'(X) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{F}(Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'(Y)
 \end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be the full-subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ of objects X such that $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X)$ is bijective. Let N be the intersection of the kernels of the actions of G on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ for X in $\mathcal{C}'$. Then, $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}'})$ is a Galois category of group G/N . Moreover, for any object X of $\mathcal{C}$, we have $\mathcal{F}'(X) = \mathcal{F}(X)/N$.

Proof. The properties of Galois categories (cf. [11] chapter IV) for $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}'})$ follow from those of $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F})$ and the following :

- a) $\mathcal{C}'$ is stable by finite fiber-products;
- b) $\mathcal{C}'$ is stable by finite disjoint unions;

c) let $X \rightarrow Y$ be an effective epimorphism in $\mathcal{C}$. If X is an object of $\mathcal{C}'$, then Y is an object of $\mathcal{C}'$.

Condition b) follows from the commutativity of $\mathcal{F}'$ with finite disjoint unions, and condition c) is a consequence of 2). We prove that condition a) is satisfied. Let $X \rightarrow Z$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{C}'$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(X \times_Z Y) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{F}'(X \times_Z Y) \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(X) \times_{\mathcal{F}(Z)} \mathcal{F}(Y) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{F}'(X) \times_{\mathcal{F}'(Z)} \mathcal{F}'(Y) \end{array}$$

Since i and g are bijective and f is surjective, then the map f is bijective. The statement that G/N is the Galois group of $\mathcal{C}'$ is obvious.

Let X be an object of $\mathcal{C}$, and let Y be the object given by $\mathcal{F}(Y) = \mathcal{F}'(X)$. Assumption 2) implies that $\mathcal{F}(Y) \simeq \mathcal{F}'(Y)$. Hence Y is an object of $\mathcal{C}'$, and N acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}(Y) = \mathcal{F}'(X)$. We deduce a surjective map $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(X)/N \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X)$. Let Z be the object of $\mathcal{C}'$ given by $\mathcal{F}(Z) = \mathcal{F}(X)/N$, and let $\psi : \mathcal{F}'(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(Z) = \mathcal{F}(X)/N$ be the canonical map. We have $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}$. So φ is injective, and therefore bijective. $\square$

Remark 2.2 If we replace in Proposition 2.1 property 2) by the following weaker property:

2') let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism such that $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$ is surjective. If $\mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(X)$ is bijective, then $\mathcal{F}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(Y)$ is bijective;

then we still have $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{C}'})$ is a Galois category of group G/N , but we may have $\mathcal{F}'(X) \neq \mathcal{F}(X)/N$.

Definition 2.3 A filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ is given by the following data :

- a) for each rational number $v > 0$, a functor $\mathcal{F}^v$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets with continuous G -actions, that commutes with finite disjoint unions, and a morphism of functors $\varphi^v : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^v$ satisfying properties 1) and 2) of Proposition 2.1;
- b) for each rational numbers $v \geq w > 0$, a morphism of functors $\varphi_v^w : \mathcal{F}^v \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^w$ such that $\varphi^w = \varphi_v^w \circ \varphi^v$.

The filtration is said to be separated if the morphism of functors

$$\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{v \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \mathcal{F}^v \tag{1}$$

is an isomorphism.

A filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ defines a decreasing filtration by closed normal subgroups of the Galois group G , and vice versa. The filtration of the fiber functor is separated if and only if the filtration of the group is separated.

3 The main constructions

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of finite étale schemes over $\text{Spec}(K)$. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets which maps X to $\mathcal{F}(X) = X(\Omega)$, makes $\mathcal{C}$ into a Galois category of group $G = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K)$. Using the results of the previous section, we define on G two separated filtrations which generalize the filtration by higher ramification subgroups for local fields with perfect residue fields.

3.1 The non-logarithmic construction

Let A be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, let $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ be a system of generators of A as an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, and let I_Z be the kernel of the surjection $\mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$. For a rational number $a > 0$, we define

$$X_Z^a = D^n(\theta^{-a}f; f \in I_Z).$$

It is an affinoid sub-domain of D^n since $X_Z^a = D^n(\theta^{-a}f_1, \dots, \theta^{-a}f_m)$ for a finite system $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ of generators of I_Z . The collection of these affinoid varieties satisfies the following properties :

- (i) If $L = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is étale over K , by identifying a homomorphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow \Omega$ with the point $(\varphi(z_1), \dots, \varphi(z_n))$, the finite set $\mathcal{F}(L) = \text{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$ is a subset of $X_Z^a(\Omega)$.
- (ii) If $b \geq a$ are rational numbers, then X_Z^b is an affinoid sub-domain of X_Z^a .
- (iii) Let B be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, and let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. Let $Z' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_{n'})$ be a finite system of generators of $B/\mathcal{O}_K$, and let $v : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n'\}$ be a map satisfying $u(z_i) = z'_{v(i)}$. Then, the morphism $D^{n'} \rightarrow D^n$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_{n'}) \mapsto (x_{v(1)}, \dots, x_{v(n)})$ induces a morphism $X_{Z'}^a \rightarrow X_Z^a$.

By (iii) above, the collection (X_Z^a) , where Z runs over the finite systems of generators of $A/\mathcal{O}_K$, forms a projective system. Let $\pi_0(X_Z^a)$ be the set of geometric connected components of X_Z^a with respect to either the weak or the strong G -topology (see [2] 9.1.4/8).

Lemma 3.1 *The projective system $(\pi_0(X_Z^a))_Z$ is constant.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\pi_0(X_{Z'}^a) \simeq \pi_0(X_Z^a)$, when $Z' = (Z, t)$ and $t \in A$. Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a lifting of t , and $A = \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n, T]/I_{Z'}$ be the presentation associated with Z' . If $I_Z = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, then $I_{Z'} = (f_1, \dots, f_m, t - g)$. Therefore, $X_{Z'}^a \simeq X_Z^a \times D^{1,(a)}$ and the morphism of (iii) is the first projection. $\square$

$$\text{We put } \mathcal{F}^a(A) = \varinjlim_Z \pi_0(X_Z^a).$$

Lemma 3.2 i) *Let $a > 0$ be a rational number, and by abuse of notation let $\pi^a \in \mathcal{O}$ be an element of valuation $v_K(\pi^a) = a$. Then, the map $X_Z^a(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(A, \mathcal{O}/\pi^a\mathcal{O})$ sending $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to the homomorphism defined by $z_i \mapsto x_i \pmod{\pi^a\mathcal{O}}$, is surjective and its fibers are discs.*

ii) *The natural map $\bigcup_{a>0} X_Z^a(\Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(A, \overline{\Omega})$ induces a bijection*

$$\varinjlim_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \mathcal{F}^a(A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(A, \overline{\Omega}).$$

Proof. Obvious. $\square$

The definition of X_Z^a may be explained more geometrically as follows. We put $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$. Then the system Z of generators defines a closed immersion $i_Z : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n$. In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}(L) = \mathfrak{X}(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & X_Z^a(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n(\mathcal{O}) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{O}/\pi^a\mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n(\mathcal{O}/\pi^a\mathcal{O}) \end{array}$$

the square is Cartesian and the fibers of the vertical maps are discs.

Let $X = \text{Spec}(L)$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{O}_L$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{O}_K$ in L . We associate with X the set $\mathcal{F}^a(X) = \mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{O}_L)$. We easily check that if $X = \coprod_{i=1}^r \text{Spec}(L_i)$, then $\mathcal{F}^a(X) = \coprod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{O}_{L_i})$. By (iii) above, $\mathcal{F}^a$ is a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets with continuous G -actions. By (i) and (ii) above, we have natural morphisms of functors $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a$ and $\mathcal{F}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a$, for rational numbers $b \geq a > 0$.

Theorem 3.3 *The projective system $(\mathcal{F}^a, \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ is a separated filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$.*

Definition 3.4 *The filtration $(G^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ of the Galois group G , induced by the filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ of theorem 3.3 and extended by $G^0 = G$, is called the ramification filtration.*

Remark 3.5 Let L/K be a finite separable extension. By Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{F}^a(L) = \mathcal{F}(L)/G^a$ for any rational number $a \geq 0$. In particular, if L is a finite Galois extension of K contained in Ω , $G(L/K)$ is the Galois group of L/K , and $(G(L/K)^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ is the quotient filtration of $(G^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$, then there are canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}^a(L) \simeq G(L/K)/G(L/K)^a$, for all rational numbers $a \geq 0$.

Definition 3.6 *For a real number $a > 0$, we put $G^{a+} = \overline{\bigcup_{b>a} G^b}$ and $G^{a-} = \bigcap_{b<a} G^b$, where b denotes a rational number.*

Proposition 3.7 1) *For a rational number $0 < a \leq 1$, G^a is the inertia subgroup of G , and G^{1+} is the wild inertia subgroup.*

2) *Let K' be a finite separable extension of K contained in Ω , of ramification index m . We identify the Galois group $G_{K'} = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K')$ with a subgroup of G . Then, for a rational number $a > 0$, we have $G_{K'}^{ma} \subset G^a$, with equality if K'/K is unramified.*

3) *If the residue field of K is perfect, then the filtration $(G^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$ coincides with the classical upper numbering ramification filtration shifted by one.*

Theorem 3.8 *The ramification filtration is left continuous and its jumps are rational, i.e., for a real number $a > 0$, we have $G^{a-} = G^a$ if a is rational, and $G^{a-} = G^{a+}$ if a is not rational.*

3.2 The logarithmic construction

Let L be a finite separable extension of K . A logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a triple (Z, I, P) where $Z = (z_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ as an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra and P is a subset of I such that the set $\{z_i; i \in P\}$ contains a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_L$ and does not contain the zero element. Let (Z, I, P) be such a system, e be the ramification index of L/K , and for $i \in P$, $e_i = v_L(z_i)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]/I_Z$ be the presentation associated with Z and $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ be a finite set of generators of I_Z . For $i \in P$, we choose $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ a lifting of the unit $u_i = z_i^e/\pi^{e_i}$. For $(i, j) \in P^2$, we choose $h_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ a lifting of the unit $u_{i,j} = z_j^{e_i}/z_i^{e_j}$. Let $a > 0$ be a rational number. We define

$$Y_{Z,P}^a = D^I \left(\begin{array}{ll} \theta^{-a} f_l & \forall 1 \leq l \leq m \\ \theta^{-a-e_i} (X_i^e - \pi^{e_i} g_i) & \forall i \in P \\ \theta^{-a-e_i e_j / e} (X_j^{e_i} - X_i^{e_j} h_{i,j}) & \forall (i, j) \in P^2 \end{array} \right) \quad (2)$$

as an affinoid sub-domain of D^I . Obviously, this definition does not depend on the choice of the f_l and the g_i . It does not depend on the choice of the $h_{i,j}$ because for any $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega)$ and $i \in P$, we have $v_L(x_i) \geq e_i$.

Lemma 3.9 1) *Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ and $x \in X_Z^a(\Omega)$. If $g \in I_Z$ then $v(g(x)) \geq a$, and if the image of g in $\mathcal{O}_L$ is invertible then $g(x)$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O}$.*

2) Let $\iota \in P$ be such that z_ι is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_L$, and put $g = g_\iota$ and $h_i = h_{\iota,i}$ for $i \in P$. Then,

$$Y_{Z,P}^a = D^I \left(\begin{array}{cc} \theta^{-a} f_l & \forall 1 \leq l \leq m \\ \theta^{-a-1} (X_\iota^e - \pi g) & \\ \theta^{-a-e_i/e} (X_i - X_\iota^{e_i} h_i) & \forall i \in P \end{array} \right) \quad (3)$$

Moreover, for any $(x_i)_{i \in I} \in Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega)$ and $i \in P$, we have $v_L(x_i) = e_i$.

Proof. 1) is obvious. 2) We put $k_i = h_{\iota,i}$ for $i \in P$. We may assume that $g_i = h_\iota^e g^{e_i}$ and $h_{i,j} = h_j^{e_i} k_i^{e_j}$ for any $(i,j) \in P^2$. We denote by Y the right hand side of (3). Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Y(\Omega)$. By 1), we have $v(g(x)) = 0$ and $v(h_i(x)) = 0$ for any $i \in P$. It follows that $v_L(x_i) = e_i$ for any $i \in P$. Let $i \in P$. We have $x_i = x_\iota^{e_i} (h_i(x) + \pi^a *)$ (where $*$ stands for an element in $\mathcal{O}$). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^e &= x_\iota^{e_i e} (h_i(x) + \pi^a *)^e = \pi^{e_i} (g(x) + \pi^a *)^{e_i} (h_i(x) + \pi^a *)^e \\ &= \pi^{e_i} (g(x)^{e_i} h_i(x)^e + \pi^a *) = \pi^{e_i} g_i(x) + \pi^{a+e_i} * \end{aligned}$$

Let $(i,j) \in P^2$. Since $h_i k_i = 1$ modulo I_Z , then $|h_i(x) k_i(x) - 1| \leq \theta^a$. Thus, the relation $x_i = x_\iota^{e_i} (h_i(x) + \pi^a *)$ implies $x_\iota^{e_i} = x_i k_i(x) + \pi^{a+e_i/e} * = x_i (k_i(x) + \pi^a *)$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^{e_i} &= x_\iota^{e_i e_j} (h_j(x) + \pi^a *)^{e_i} = x_i^{e_j} (k_i(x) + \pi^a *)^{e_j} (h_j(x) + \pi^a *)^{e_i} \\ &= x_i^{e_j} (k_i(x)^{e_j} h_j(x)^{e_i} + \pi^a *) = x_i^{e_j} h_{i,j}(x) + \pi^{a+e_i e_j/e} * \end{aligned}$$

We proved that $Y(\Omega) \subset Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega)$. The converse is trivial. $\square$

The collection of affinoid varieties $Y_{Z,P}^a$ satisfies the following properties :

- (i) The finite set $\mathcal{F}(L) = \text{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$ is canonically a subset of $Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega)$.
- (ii) If $b \geq a$ are rational numbers, then $Y_{Z,P}^b$ is an affinoid sub-domain of $Y_{Z,P}^a$.
- (iii) Let L' be a finite separable extension of L , and $(Z' = (z_i)_{i \in I'}, I', P')$ be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $v : I \rightarrow I'$ be a map such that $z_i = z_{v(i)}$ for $i \in I$, and $v(P) \subset P'$. Then, the morphism $D^{I'} \rightarrow D^I$ given by $(x_i)_{i \in I'} \mapsto (x_{v(i)})_{i \in I}$ induces a morphism $Y_{Z',P'}^a \rightarrow Y_{Z,P}^a$.

Only (iii) needs a proof. Let $Z' = (z_i)_{i \in I'}$, $Z = (z_i)_{i \in I}$, $e_i = v_L(z_i)$ for $i \in P$, $e'_i = v_{L'}(z_i)$ for $i \in P'$, e be the ramification index of L/K , e' be the ramification index of L'/K , and $r = e'/e$ be the ramification index of L'/L . We have $e'_i = r e_i$. We put $u_i = z_i^e / \pi^{e_i}$ (for $i \in P$), $u'_i = z_i^{r e_i} / \pi^{r e_i}$ (for $i \in P'$), $u_{i,j} = z_j^{e_i} / z_i^{e_j}$ (for $(i,j) \in P^2$), and $u'_{i,j} = z_j^{r e_i} / z_i^{r e_j}$ (for $(i,j) \in P'^2$). We choose $\iota \in P'$ such that z_ι is a uniformizer of L' . We have

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &= \left(\frac{z_i}{z_\iota^{r e_i}} \right)^e \left(\frac{z_\iota^{r e}}{\pi} \right)^{e_i} = (u'_{\iota,i})^e (u'_\iota)^{e_i} \\ u_{i,j} &= \left(\frac{z_j}{z_\iota^{r e_j}} \right)^{e_i} \left(\frac{z_\iota^{r e_i}}{z_i} \right)^{e_j} = (u'_{\iota,j})^{e_i} (u'_{\iota,i})^{e_j}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose g' , h'_i and k'_i (for $i \in P'$) lifting respectively u'_ι , $u'_{\iota,i}$ and $u'_{\iota,i}$. Let $x' = (x'_i)_{i \in I'} \in Y_{Z',P'}^a(\Omega)$, and set $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$. Clearly $x \in X_Z^a(\Omega)$. We prove the other relations. For $i \in P$, we have $x_i = x_\iota^{r e_i} (h'_i(x') + \pi^a *)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^e &= x_\iota^{r e_i e} (h'_i(x') + \pi^a *)^e = \pi^{e_i} (g'(x') + \pi^a *)^{e_i} (h'_i(x') + \pi^a *)^e \\ &= \pi^{e_i} (g'(x')^{e_i} h'_i(x')^e + \pi^a *) = \pi^{e_i} g_i(x) + \pi^{a+e_i} * \end{aligned}$$

Let $g_i \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_j)_{j \in I}]$ be a lifting of u_i (for $i \in P$). Since $g'^{e_i} h_i^{e_i}$ lifts u_i , then $|(g'(x'))^{e_i} (h'_i(x'))^e - g_i(x)| \leq \theta^a$. We deduce that $x_i^e = \pi^{e_i} g_i(x) + \pi^{a+e_i} *$. (In general, we cannot choose $g_i = g'^{e_i} h_i^{e_i}$). The other relations are proved in the same way. $\square$

By (iii) above, the collection $(Y_{Z,P}^a)$, where (Z, I, P) runs over the logarithmic systems of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, forms a projective system. Let $\pi_0(Y_{Z,P}^a)$ be the set of geometric connected components of $Y_{Z,P}^a$.

Lemma 3.10 *The projective system $(\pi_0(Y_{Z,P}^a))_{(Z,P)}$ is constant.*

Proof. Let (Z, P) and (Z', P') be as above. By considering $Z \amalg Z'$ and $P \amalg P'$, we may assume that $Z' = (z_i)_{i \in I'}$, I is a subset of I' , $Z = (z_i)_{i \in I}$ and $P \subset P'$. Moreover, (Z', P') can be obtained from (Z, P) in finitely many steps, each step consists in either adding an element to I and preserving P , or adding the same element to I and P . In the first case, the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that the fibers of the canonical map $Y_{Z',P'}^a \rightarrow Y_{Z,P}^a$ are connected. In the second case, we have $I' = I \amalg \{t\}$ and $P' = P \amalg \{t\}$. We choose $\iota \in P$ as in Lemma 3.9 and fix $h_t \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ a lift of the unit $z_t/z_\iota^{e_t}$. Then $f = X_\iota^{e_t} h_t$ lifts z_t . Using (3), we deduce that the fiber of $Y_{Z',P'}^a \rightarrow Y_{Z,P}^a$ above a point $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x_t \in D^1(\Omega) ; |x_t - f(x)| \leq \theta^a \text{ and } |x_t - x_\iota^{e_t} h_t(x)| \leq \theta^{a+e_t/e}\} \\ & = \{x_t \in D^1(\Omega) ; |x_t - x_\iota^{e_t} h_t(x)| \leq \theta^{a+e_t/e}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is connected. $\square$

We put $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L) = \varprojlim_{(Z,P)} \pi_0(Y_{Z,P}^a)$. Let $X = \amalg_{i=1}^r \text{Spec}(L_i)$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}$, where each L_i is a finite separable extension of K . We associate with X the set $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(X) = \amalg_{i=1}^r \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L_i)$. By (iii) above, $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a$ is a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets with continuous G -actions. By (i) and (ii) above, we have natural morphisms of functors $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a$, for rational numbers $b \geq a > 0$.

Theorem 3.11 *The projective system $(\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a, \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}}$ is a separated filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$.*

Definition 3.12 *The filtration $(G_{\log}^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ of the Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K)$, induced by the filtration of the fiber functor $\mathcal{F}$ of Theorem 3.11 and extended by $G_{\log}^0 = G$, is called logarithmic ramification filtration.*

Remark 3.13 Let L/K be a finite separable extension. By Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.11, $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L) = \mathcal{F}(L)/G_{\log}^a$ for any rational number $a \geq 0$. In particular, if L is a finite Galois extension of K contained in Ω , $G(L/K)$ is the Galois group of L/K , and $(G(L/K)_{\log}^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ is the quotient filtration of $(G_{\log}^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$, then there are canonical isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(\bar{L}) \simeq G(L/K)/G(L/K)_{\log}^a$, for all rational numbers $a \geq 0$.

Definition 3.14 *For a real number $a \geq 0$, we put $G_{\log}^{a+} = \overline{\bigcup_{b>a} G_{\log}^b}$, and if $a > 0$, we put $G_{\log}^{a-} = \bigcap_{b<a} G_{\log}^b$, where b denotes a rational number.*

Proposition 3.15 1) *For a rational number $a > 0$, we have $G^{a+1} \subset G_{\log}^a \subset G^a$.*

2) *G^{0+} is the wild inertia subgroup of G .*

3) *Let K' be a finite separable extension of K contained in Ω , of ramification index m . We identify the Galois group $G_{K'} = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K')$ with a subgroup of G . Then, for a rational number $a > 0$, we have $G_{K',\log}^{ma} \subset G_{\log}^a$, with equality if K'/K is tamely ramified.*

4) *If the residue field of K is perfect, then the filtration $(G_{\log}^a)_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}}$ coincides with the classical upper numbering ramification filtration.*

Theorem 3.16 *The logarithmic ramification filtration is left continuous and its jumps are rational, i.e., for a real number $a > 0$, we have $G_{\log}^{a-} = G_{\log}^a$ if a is rational, and $G_{\log}^{a-} = G_{\log}^{a+}$ if a is not rational.*

As in the non-logarithmic case, we have a geometric interpretation of $Y_{Z,P}^a$. For this purpose, we fix the following logarithmic structures. Let L be a finite separable extension of K . The valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_L$ has a canonical log-structure (M_L, α_L) given by the multiplicative monoid $M_L = \mathcal{O}_L - \{0\}$ and the natural morphism of monoids $\alpha_L : \mathcal{O}_L - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$. There is a canonical morphism of log-structures $(\mathcal{O}_K, M_K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_L, M_L)$. Let $a > 0$ be a rational number. The group $\{y \in \mathcal{O} ; v(y-1) \geq a\}$ acts on the monoid $\mathcal{O} - \{0\}$. The quotient

$$M^a = (\mathcal{O} - \{0\}) / \{y \in \mathcal{O} ; v(y-1) \geq a\}.$$

has a canonical monoid structure. The morphism of monoids $\mathcal{O} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ induces a morphism of monoids $\alpha^a : M^a \rightarrow \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}$. We have $M^a = \sqcup_{v \geq 0} M^a(v)$ where $M^a(v) = \{x \in \mathcal{O} ; v(x) = v\} / \{y \in \mathcal{O} ; v(y-1) \geq a\}$. For a rational number $v \geq 0$, the map

$$M^a(v) \longrightarrow \{x \in \mathcal{O} ; v(x) = v\} / \pi^{a+v} \mathcal{O},$$

defined by $x \mapsto x$, is a well defined bijection. From this description, we see that (M^a, α^a) defines a log-structure on $\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}$, i.e. α^a induces an isomorphism $M^a(0) = (\alpha^a)^{-1}((\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^*) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^*$. There is a natural morphism of log-structures $(\mathcal{O}_K, M_K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}, M^a)$.

Let $\text{Hom}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})$ be the set of morphisms $(\mathcal{O}_L, M_L) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}, M^a)$ of $(\mathcal{O}_K, M_K)$ -log-structures. Such a morphism is a pair (f, g) where $f : \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras and $g : M_L \rightarrow M^a$ is a morphism of monoids over M_K , such that $f \circ \alpha_L = \alpha^a \circ g$.

Lemma 3.17 *Let π_L be a uniformizer of L , e be the ramification index of L/K , $u \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be the unit such that $\pi_L^e = u\pi$. There exists a well defined map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O}) \times M^a(\frac{1}{e}) \\ (f, g) & \longrightarrow & (f, g(\pi_L)) \end{array}$$

which induces a bijection between $\text{Hom}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})$ and the set of pairs (f, t) satisfying the equations

$$v(t^e - \pi f(u)) \geq a + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v(t - f(\pi_L)) \geq a.$$

Proof. Observe that g is determined by its restriction to $\mathcal{O}_L^*$ and by $g(\pi_L)$. For $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^*$, $f(x) \in (\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^*$ and $g(x) = f(x)$ via the isomorphism $\alpha^a : M^a(0) \simeq (\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^*$. It follows that (f, g) is completely determined by f and $g(\pi_L) \in M^a$. Since $g(\pi) = \bar{\pi} \in M^a(1)$, then $g(\pi_L)^e \in M^a(1)$, so $g(\pi_L) \in M^a(\frac{1}{e})$. Hence, the map in the Lemma is a well defined injection. Conversely, a pair (f, t) as in the Lemma defines a morphism of monoids $g : M_L \rightarrow M^a$ over M_K , by $g(x\pi_L^n) = (\alpha^a)^{-1}(f(x)).t^n$ where $x \in \mathcal{O}_L^*$. It is clear that $(f, g) \in \text{Hom}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})$. $\square$

Let (Z, I, P) be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L / \mathcal{O}_K$. By Lemma 3.17, there exists a surjective map

$$Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\log}(\mathcal{O}_L, \mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})$$

with connected fibers. More geometrically, we may interpret our construction as follows. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K[X_i(i \in I), (\frac{X_i^e}{\pi^{e_i}})^{\pm 1}(i \in P), (\frac{X_i^{e_j}}{X_j^{e_i}})^{\pm 1}((i, j) \in P^2)])$$

with the log structure defined by $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}, 1 \mapsto X_i$, where z_i is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_L$. We have an exact closed immersion $i : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{Z,P}^a(\Omega) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O})^{\log} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^{\log} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O} / \pi^a \mathcal{O})^{\log} \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, where the superscript log indicates the valued points as log schemes. The horizontal maps are injective and the fibers of the vertical maps are products of discs.

4 Normalized integral models of affinoid varieties

In Raynaud's theory [4], (quasi-compact and quasi-separated) rigid K -spaces are the generic fibers of (quasi-compact) admissible $\mathcal{O}_K$ -formal schemes. Concretely, let A_K be an affinoid K -algebra, and let A be an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra which is π -adically complete and topologically of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_K$. If $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K = A_K$, then the formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ is a model of the affinoid variety $\mathrm{Sp}(A_K)$. To construct such a model, we can start from a surjective map $\rho : K\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle \rightarrow A_K$, and take $A_\rho = \rho(\mathcal{O}_K\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle)$.

Lemma 4.1 *Assume that A_K is reduced. Then,*

- i) $A_\rho \subset A = \{f \in A_K; |f|_{\mathrm{sup}} \leq 1\}$ and A is the integral closure of A_ρ in A_K .
- ii) *If $A_\rho \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{K}$ is reduced then $A = A_\rho$.*

Proof. i) follows from [2] 6.3.4/1. ii) Let $|\cdot|_\rho$ be the residue norm on A_K defined by ρ . Then A_ρ is the unit ball in A_K for $|\cdot|_\rho$. We have $|\cdot|_{\mathrm{sup}} \leq |\cdot|_\rho$, and $|\cdot|_{\mathrm{sup}} = |\cdot|_\rho$ if and only if $A_\rho \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{K}$ is reduced ([6] proposition 1.1). $\square$

Since $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a discrete valuation ring, then the unit ball $A \subset A_K$ is topologically of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_K$ although $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{K}$ might not be reduced. However, we have the following finiteness theorem of Grauert and Remmert ([6] theorem 1.3; see also [8] and [2] 6.4.1/3):

Theorem 4.2 *Let A_K be a geometrically reduced affinoid K -algebra. Then, there exists a finite separable extension K' of K such that the unit ball $A' \subset A_K \otimes_K K'$ has a geometrically reduced special fiber $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \overline{K}'$. Moreover, the formation of A' commutes with any finite extension of K' .*

Let A_K be a geometrically reduced affinoid K -algebra. We think of the collection of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -formal schemes $\mathrm{Spf}(A')$, where K' and A' are as in Theorem 4.2, as a unique model of $\mathrm{Sp}(A_K)$ over $\mathcal{O}$. We call it the normalized integral model of $\mathrm{Sp}(A_K)$ over $\mathcal{O}$. We say that the normalized integral model of $\mathrm{Sp}(A_K)$ is defined over $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ if the unit ball $A' \subset A_{K'}$ has a geometrically reduced special fiber $A' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \overline{K}'$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a quasi-compact $\mathcal{O}_K$ -formal scheme that is flat and locally of topological finite type over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Assume that the closed fiber $\mathfrak{X}_s$ and the generic fiber $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ (as a rigid-space) are geometrically reduced. Then the sets of their geometric connected components are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the sets of connected components of $\mathfrak{X}_s$ and $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ are isomorphic. The set of connected components of $\mathfrak{X}_s$ is equal to the set of connected components of $\mathfrak{X}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{X}$ is connected and we prove that $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is connected. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Spf}(A_i)$ be a finite open covering of $\mathfrak{X}$ by connected affine formal schemes, and let $\mathfrak{X}_\eta = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Sp}(A_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K)$ be the induced admissible covering of $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$. By Lemma 4.1 ii), A_i is the unit ball for the sup norm on $A_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$. If $e \in A_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is a non trivial idempotent, then $|e|_{\mathrm{sup}} = 1$ and $e \in A_i$. We deduce that $\mathrm{Sp}(A_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K)$ is connected.

Assume that $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ is not connected. Then there exists a partition $\{1, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Sp}(A_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K) \cap \bigcup_{j \in J} \mathrm{Sp}(A_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K) = \emptyset.$$

We consider the open formal subschemes $\mathfrak{X}' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathrm{Spf}(A_i)$ and $\mathfrak{X}'' = \bigcup_{j \in J} \mathrm{Spf}(A_j)$ of $\mathfrak{X}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is connected then there is $x \in \mathfrak{X}' \cap \mathfrak{X}''$ which is closed in $\mathfrak{X}_s$. Let $\mathrm{sp} : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ be the specialization map. Since $\mathfrak{X}'$ and $\mathfrak{X}''$ are open, then $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) \subset \mathfrak{X}'_\eta$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) \subset \mathfrak{X}''_\eta$. We get a contradiction because $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ by [4] proposition 3.5. (In fact $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x)$ is geometrically connected by Lemma 4.1 ii) and [1] satz 6.1.) $\square$

Corollary 4.4 *Let X be a geometrically reduced affinoid variety over K , $\mathfrak{X}$ be its normalized integral model over $\mathcal{O}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ be its special fiber. Then the sets of geometric connected components of X and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ are isomorphic.*

Proposition 4.5 *Let X and Y be geometrically reduced affinoid varieties over K , and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite flat morphism of degree p . Let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ be their normalized integral models over $\mathcal{O}$, and let $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be the canonical extension of f (which is finite by the finiteness theorem of Grauert and Remmert). Let K' be a finite separable extension of K such that $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ are defined over $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$. Assume that*

- i) $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is flat;
- ii) the special fibers $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ are (geometrically) reduced and irreducible, and $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is normal;
- iii) there exists a function τ on $\mathfrak{X}$ that generates $\mathcal{O}_X$ over $\mathcal{O}_Y$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y[\tau]$.

Then, there exist a function ξ on $\mathfrak{Y}$ and an element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ such that $(\tau + \xi)/\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, and $\mathfrak{X} \simeq \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[(\tau + \xi)/\beta])$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -module of finite type, and let $m \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{M})$ be a non-zero section. Let $\mathcal{J}(m) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ be the ideal of coordinates of m , i.e. the ideal of $\mathfrak{Y}$ generated locally by the coordinates of m in a basis of $\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$. The closed fiber of $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})$ is geometrically reduced and irreducible, and $\mathcal{J}(m)$ does not vanish identically on the rigid fiber. Then we can apply the maximum principle of [5] proposition 5.2 to $\mathcal{J}(m)$ and the morphism $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{K'})$. So there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ such that $\mathcal{J}(m) \subset \beta\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ and the open part of $\mathfrak{Y}$ where $\mathcal{J}(m) = \beta\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is not empty. We deduce that $m/\beta \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{M})$ and its residue class in $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}$ does not vanish.

We apply this construction to $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ and m the residue class of τ . Then, there exist $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ such that $\gamma = (\tau + \xi)/\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\overline{\gamma} \notin \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is locally free of rank p over $\mathfrak{Y}$, then γ annihilates its characteristic polynomial $F(T) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[T]$, which is an integral polynomial of degree p . We claim that the natural surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[T]/F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[\gamma]$ is bijective. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[T]/F$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[\gamma]$ are flat over $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$, it is enough to show that this map is bijective after tensorization with K' . The latter is true because both $\mathcal{O}_Y[T]/F$ and $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y[\gamma]$ are finite and flat of rank p over Y . We consider the natural maps

$$\mathfrak{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} = \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}[\gamma]) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Y},$$

and we denote by x and y the generic points of the special fibers of respectively $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$. Since $\overline{\gamma} \notin \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}$, $\overline{\gamma}$ is integral over $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}$ is normal, then $\overline{\gamma} \notin \kappa(y)$. Thus $\kappa(x) = \kappa(y)[\overline{\gamma}]$ because $[\kappa(x) : \kappa(y)] = p$. Hence $\mathcal{Z}$ has a unique point z above y and $\kappa(z) = \kappa(x)$. The claim above implies that $\mathcal{Z}$ is finite and flat of rank p over $\mathfrak{Y}$. We deduce that the closed fiber of $\mathcal{Z}$ is reduced and irreducible. Thus $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{Z}$ by Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Let X be a geometrically reduced affinoid variety over K , $\mathfrak{X}$ be its normalized integral model over $\mathcal{O}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ be its special fiber. There is a natural $\mathcal{O}$ -semi-linear action of $G = \text{Gal}(\Omega/K)$ on $\mathfrak{X}$, which induces an $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ -semi-linear action on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$, called the *geometric monodromy*. More precisely, let K' be a finite Galois extension of K over which the normalized integral model of X is defined; we denote it by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$. The natural K' -semi-linear action of G on $X_{K'}$ extends to an $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -semi-linear action on $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$. If K'' is a finite Galois extension of K containing K' , then $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{K''}} = \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} \mathcal{O}_{K''}$ and the semi-linear actions of G on the left and right hand sides are compatible. The restriction to the inertia of the action of G on $\mathfrak{X}$ is $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ -linear.

5 Continuity of connected components

Let A be a geometrically reduced affinoid K -algebra, $X = \text{Sp}(A)$ be the associated affinoid variety, and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A$ be holomorphic functions. For every rational number r , we consider the rational sub-domain $X^r = X(\theta^{-r}f_1, \dots, \theta^{-r}f_m)$, and denote by $\pi_0(X^r)$ the set of its geometric connected components. The goal of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem 5.1 *For any rational number a , there exist rational numbers $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}$ with $-\infty = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < a_{n+1} = a$, such that the cardinality of $\pi_0(X^r)$ remains constant for all rational numbers $r \in]a_i, a_{i+1}]$.*

By the maximum modulus principle we may assume $|f_i|_{\sup} \leq 1$ for all i . Let e be an integer, and $C = \mathrm{Sp}(K\langle z, t \rangle / zt - \pi^e)$ be the annulus $\{z \in \Omega; 0 \leq v(z) \leq e\}$. We consider the following relative situation

$$\varphi : Y = \mathrm{Sp}(A\langle z, t, u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle / (f_i - u_i z, zt - \pi^e)) \longrightarrow C.$$

Then φ parameterize the family of affinoid varieties X^r for $0 \leq r \leq e$. More precisely, we have $Y_z \simeq X^{v(z)}$ for $z \in C(\Omega)$. For rational numbers $0 < s \leq r$ and $a \in \Omega$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} C^+(s, r) &= \{x \in \Omega; s \leq v(x) \leq r\}, \\ C^-(s, r) &= \{x \in \Omega; s < v(x) < r\}, \\ B^-(a, r) &= \{x \in \Omega; v(x - a) > r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.2 *After a finite separable base change K' of K , there exists an admissible formal model $\mathfrak{C}'$ of $C_{K'}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ such that if $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ denotes the special fiber of $\mathfrak{C}'$ and $\mathrm{sp} : C_{K'} = \mathfrak{C}'_{K'} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ denotes the specialization map, then the following properties hold:*

- i) $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ is reduced, and for any closed point x of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$, either $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) = C^-(s, r)$, or $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) \subset B^-(a, r) \subset C_{K'}(\Omega)$;
- ii) there exists a covering $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ by locally closed subschemes of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ such that the cardinality of $\pi_0(Y_x)$ is constant for $x \in \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ be the unit ball for the sup-norm (which is π -adically complete and topologically of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_K$). We consider the following model of the map φ :

$$\phi : \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{A}\langle z, t, u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle / (f_i - u_i z, zt - \pi^e)) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C} = \mathrm{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_K\langle z, t \rangle / zt - \pi^e).$$

By the main theorem of [6], there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{Z}'' & & & \\ & \downarrow & & & \\ \mathcal{Y}'' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}'' & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathfrak{C}' & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C} \end{array}$$

where the squares are Cartesian and

- 1) $\mathfrak{C}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is an admissible blow-up;
- 2) $\mathfrak{C}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'$ is quasi-finite, flat and surjective, and moreover it is étale over the generic fibers;
- 3) $\mathcal{Z}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}''$ is finite and induces an isomorphism over the generic fibers;
- 4) $\mathcal{Z}'' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}''$ is flat and has geometrically reduced fibers.

Properties 2), 3) and 4) are preserved after any base change $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'$. By semi-stable reduction theorem for curves, there exists a finite separable extension K' of K and a morphism $\mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}}$, such that the induced morphism on the generic fibers is an isomorphism and $\mathcal{S}'$ satisfies property i) of Proposition 5.2. In the sequel we replace $\mathfrak{C}'$ by $\mathcal{S}'$.

There exists a stratification $\overline{\mathfrak{C}''} = \coprod_i V_i$ by locally closed connected subschemes of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}''}$ such that $\#\pi_0(\overline{\mathcal{Z}''}_x)$ is constant for $x \in V_i$. Thus by Proposition 4.3, $\#\pi_0(Y''_x)$ is constant for $x \in \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(V_i)$. Let $\overline{h} : \overline{\mathfrak{C}''} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ be the map induced by h on the special fibers. We put $U_i = \overline{h}(V_i)$. If V_i is an open subscheme of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}''}$, then U_i is open in $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$ because $\overline{h}$ is flat. If V_i is a closed point in $\overline{\mathfrak{C}''}$, then U_i is a closed point of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'}$. We claim that the covering $\overline{\mathfrak{C}'} = \bigcup_i U_i$ satisfies property ii) of Proposition 5.2.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $\beta \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}}''$ and $\alpha = \overline{h}(\beta) \in \overline{\mathfrak{C}}'$. Then $h : \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\beta$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\alpha$) be the completion of the local ring of $\mathfrak{C}''$ at β (resp. of $\mathfrak{C}'$ at α). The natural map $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\alpha \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_\beta$ is finite because h is quasi-finite. Since $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\alpha$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_\beta$ are integral models of $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\beta)$ respectively, then $h : \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is finite. Hence it is surjective because it is flat by 2). $\square$

Let $x \in \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists $y \in \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(V_i) \subset \mathfrak{C}''_{K'}$, such that $h(y) = x$. So $Y_y'' = Y_x$. We deduce that $\#\pi_0(Y_x)$ is constant for $x \in \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$. The claim and Proposition 5.2 follow. $\square$

Proposition 5.4 *There exists a covering $C = \cup_{i=1}^n C_i$ such that*

- i) *for each integer $1 \leq i \leq n$, either $C_i = C^-(a_i, b_i)$ for rational numbers $a_i < b_i$, or $C_i = C^+(a_i, a_i)$ for a rational number a_i ;*
- ii) *the cardinality of $\pi_0(Y_x)$ is constant for $x \in C_i(\Omega)$.*

Proof. We start with the covering $\cup_{i=1}^n \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$ of $C_{K'}$ given by Proposition 5.2, and change it. We may assume the U_i connected. We have to consider three cases:

- a) U_i is a closed point x of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}'$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) = C^-(s, r)$;
- b) U_i is a closed point x of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}'$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(x) \subset B^-(a, r) \subset C_{K'}$;
- c) U_i is an open subscheme of $\overline{\mathfrak{C}}'$.

We don't change U_i in case a). In case b), since $B^-(a, r) \subset C$, then $v(a) \leq r$. Therefore $B^-(a, r) \subset C^+(v(a), v(a))$. Moreover, $\#\pi_0(Y_x)$ is constant for $x \in C^+(v(a), v(a))$. So, we replace $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$ by $C^+(v(a), v(a))$. In case c), $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$ is a connected affinoid sub-domain of C .

Lemma 5.5 *Let U be a connected affinoid sub-domain of C . Then, there exist rational numbers $0 \leq s \leq r \leq e$ such that $U \subset C^+(s, r)$ and U contains a point of valuation α for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [s, r]$.*

Proof. It follows from [2] 9.7.2/2. $\square$

Since $\#\pi_0(Y_x)$ depends only on $v(x)$, Lemma 5.5 shows that we may replace the affinoid $\mathrm{sp}^{-1}(U_i)$ by $C^+(r, s)$. Proposition 5.4 follows. $\square$

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.1, we establish the left continuity of $\#\pi_0(X^r)$. It may also be possible to deduce this result from [6]. We give a more direct proof. Let $X^{+\infty}$ be the zero locus of $f_1, \dots, f_m$.

Lemma 5.6 i) *Let $g_1, \dots, g_s \in A$ be holomorphic functions, $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$ and ε_0 be a rational number. If $X(\theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_1, \dots, \theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_s) \cap X^r = \emptyset$, then there exist rational numbers $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$ and $a < r$, such that $X(\theta^\varepsilon g_1, \dots, \theta^\varepsilon g_s) \cap X^a = \emptyset$.*

ii) *Let U be an affinoid sub-domain of X and $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that $U \cap X^r = \emptyset$. Then, there exists a rational number $a < r$ such that $U \cap X^a = \emptyset$.*

Proof. i) For $x \in X(\Omega)$, we put

$$\alpha(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |f_i(x)|.$$

By assumption, $\alpha(x) > \theta^r$ for $x \in X(\theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_1, \dots, \theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_s)$. Since α assumes its minimum on any affinoid variety (by [2] 7.3.4/8), then there is a rational number $r_0 < r$ such that $\alpha(x) > \theta^{r_0}$ for $x \in X(\theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_1, \dots, \theta^{\varepsilon_0} g_s)$. For $x \in X(\Omega)$, we put

$$\beta(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq s} (\theta^{-r_0} |f_i(x)|, \theta^{\varepsilon_0} |g_j(x)|).$$

By assumption, $\beta(x) > 1$ for all $x \in X(\Omega)$. Thus by [2] 7.3.4/8, there is a rational number $\delta < 0$ such that $\beta(x) > \theta^\delta$ for all $x \in X(\Omega)$. We take $a = r_0 + \delta$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 - \delta$. The proof of ii) is similar. $\square$

Lemma 5.7 *Let $U_1, \dots, U_n$ and $V_1, \dots, V_m$ be affinoid sub-domains of X , and $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$. We put $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_j$, and we assume that $U \cup V \supset X^r$ and $U \cap V \cap X^r = \emptyset$. Then, there exist two admissible open subsets U' and V' of X for the strong G -topology and a rational number $a < r$, such that $U \subset U'$, $V \subset V'$, and $U' \cup V' \supset X^a$ and $U' \cap V' \cap X^a = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By [2] 7.3.5/3, there exists a covering $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^l X_k$ by rational sub-domains $X_k \subset X$ such that $U_{i,k} = U_i \cap X_k$ and $V_{j,k} = V_j \cap X_k$ are Weierstrass domains in X_k for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq l$. We put $U_{i,k} = X_k(g_{i,k,1}, \dots, g_{i,k,s_{i,k}})$ and $V_{j,k} = X_k(h_{j,k,1}, \dots, h_{j,k,t_{j,k}})$, and for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i,k}^\varepsilon &= X_k(\theta^\varepsilon g_{i,k,1}, \dots, \theta^\varepsilon g_{i,k,s_{i,k}}), \\ V_{j,k}^\varepsilon &= X_k(\theta^\varepsilon h_{j,k,1}, \dots, \theta^\varepsilon h_{j,k,t_{j,k}}). \end{aligned}$$

We put $U^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{i,k} U_{i,k}^\varepsilon$ and $V^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{j,k} V_{j,k}^\varepsilon$, which are admissible open subsets of X for the strong G -topology by [2] 9.1.4/4.

First, we prove that there exist rational numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a_1 < r$ such that $U^\varepsilon \cap V^\varepsilon \cap X^{a_1} = \emptyset$. It is enough to prove that for any (i, k) and (j, k') as above, there exist rational numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a_1 < r$ such that

$$U_{i,k}^\varepsilon \cap V_{j,k'}^\varepsilon \cap X^{a_1} = \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

We work over the affinoid sub-domain $X_k \cap X_{k'}$ of X , which contains the left hand side of (4). Since

$$U_{i,k}^\varepsilon \cap V_{j,k'}^\varepsilon = (X_k \cap X_{k'}) (\theta^\varepsilon g_{i,k,1}, \dots, \theta^\varepsilon g_{i,k,s_{i,k}}, \theta^\varepsilon h_{j,k',1}, \dots, \theta^\varepsilon h_{j,k',t_{j,k'}})$$

and $U_{i,k}^0 \cap V_{j,k'}^0 \cap X^r = \emptyset$, then (4) follows from Lemma 5.6-i).

Second, we prove that there exists a rational number $a_1 \leq a < r$ such that $U^\varepsilon \cup V^\varepsilon \supset X^a$. It is enough to prove that for any $1 \leq k \leq l$, there exists a rational number $a_1 \leq a < r$ such that $(\bigcup_i U_{i,k}^\varepsilon) \cup (\bigcup_j V_{j,k}^\varepsilon) \supset X^a \cap X_k$. By assumption, $(\bigcup_i U_{i,k}) \cup (\bigcup_j V_{j,k}) \supset X^r \cap X_k$. Therefore, for any choices of $g_i \in \{g_{i,k,1}, \dots, g_{i,k,s_{i,k}}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $h_j \in \{h_{j,k,1}, \dots, h_{j,k,t_{j,k}}\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$X_k(\theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{g_1}, \dots, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{g_n}, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{h_1}, \dots, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{h_m}) \cap X^r = \emptyset.$$

Then by Lemma 5.6-ii), there exists a rational number $a_1 \leq a < r$ such that for any choices as above, we have

$$X_k(\theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{g_1}, \dots, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{g_n}, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{h_1}, \dots, \theta^{-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{h_m}) \cap X^a = \emptyset.$$

We deduce that $X_k \cap X^a \subset (\bigcup_i U_{i,k}^\varepsilon) \cup (\bigcup_j V_{j,k}^\varepsilon)$. □

Theorem 5.1 is a consequence of Proposition 5.4 and the following:

Proposition 5.8 *For $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{+\infty\}$, the following natural map is bijective*

$$\iota_r : \pi_0(X^r) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{a \in \mathbb{Q} < r} \pi_0(X^a).$$

Proof. The injectivity of ι_r follows from Lemma 5.7. Observe that a geometrically connected component of an affinoid variety is an affinoid sub-domain ([2] 9.1.4/8 and the discussion after). Suppose that ι_r is not surjective. Then, there exists an affinoid sub-domain U of X such that $U \cap X^a \neq \emptyset$ for all rational numbers $a < r$, but $U \cap X^r = \emptyset$. We get a contradiction with Lemma 5.6 ii). □

6 Ramification of complete intersection rings

Let A and B be finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras, and let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras that makes B a relative complete intersection over A , finite and flat of rank r (EGA IV 19.3.6). We fix closed

immersions $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_A^m$. They induce the following commutative diagramme

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spec}(B) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_A^m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{pr} \\ & & \mathrm{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n \end{array}$$

Let I be the ideal sheaf defining the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_A^m$. Since B is semi-local, then by EGA IV 19.3.7 there exist an open subscheme $U \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m}$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$ such that $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \subset U \cap \mathbb{A}_A^m$ and

$$\Gamma(U \cap \mathbb{A}_A^m, I) = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \Gamma(U \cap \mathbb{A}_A^m, \mathcal{O}).$$

Hence, the following diagram is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(B) & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ \mathrm{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m} \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is obtained by composing the closed immersions $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n$ and the zero section of pr , and φ is defined by $\varphi(x) = (\mathrm{pr}(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x))$. Let $\hat{\varphi}_K : \hat{U}_K \rightarrow D^{n+m}$ be the morphism induced by φ on the rigid fibers of the formal completions of U and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m}$ along their special fibers. Let Z (resp. Z') be the finite system of generators of A (resp. B) over $\mathcal{O}_K$ induced by the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n$ (resp. $\mathrm{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m}$). For a rational number $a > 0$, we have $X_{Z'}^a \subset \hat{U}_K$ and the following diagram is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{Z'}^a & \longrightarrow & \hat{U}_K \\ \psi^a \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\varphi}_K \\ X_Z^a \times D^{m,(a)} & \longrightarrow & D^{n+m} \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.1 *For any rational number $a > 0$, the morphism $\psi^a : X_{Z'}^a \rightarrow X_Z^a \times D^{m,(a)}$ is finite and flat of degree r .*

Proof. Let $\overline{U}$ be the special fiber of U , and let $\mathrm{sp} : D^{n+m}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+m}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mathrm{sp} : \hat{U}_K(\Omega) \rightarrow \overline{U}(\overline{\Omega})$ be the specialization maps. Let

$$T_A = \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{K})) \text{ and } T_B = \mathrm{sp}^{-1}(\mathrm{Spec}(B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{K})).$$

Then $\hat{\varphi}_K$ maps T_B to T_A , $X_{Z'}^a \subset T_B$, $X_Z^a \times D^{m,(a)} \subset T_A$, and $X_{Z'}^a = \hat{\varphi}_K^{-1}(X_Z^a \times D^{m,(a)})$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $\hat{\varphi}_K$ induces a finite flat morphism of rigid analytic spaces $T_B \rightarrow T_A$ of degree r .

Let A' (resp. B') be the completion of the local rings of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^{n+m}$ (resp. U) at the closed points of $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$). Then A' and B' provide integral models of, respectively, T_A and T_B ([9] section 7). Thus, it is sufficient to prove that the natural morphism $A' \rightarrow B'$ makes B' a finite flat A' algebra of rank r . The rings A' and B' are complete regular semi-local Noetherian rings of dimension $n + m + 1$. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the radical of A' , $\mathfrak{m}$ be the radical of B' , and $(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ be a lifting to B' of a finite system of generators of the A -module B . By Nakayama, the reductions of $(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ generate $B'/\mathfrak{m}^n$ as an $A'/\mathfrak{n}^n$ -module. Thus, by taking limits, $(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ generate the A' -module B' . Since the map $A' \rightarrow B'$ is injective, it is finite and flat by EGA 0_{IV}.17.3.5 (ii). $\square$

Proposition 6.2 *Let A be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra such that A is a complete intersection ring (EGA IV 19.3.1) and $L = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is étale over K .*

- (i) For a rational number $a > 0$, the map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a(A)$ is surjective.
- (ii) The map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \lim_{a \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_{>0}}} \mathcal{F}^a(A)$ is bijective.
- (iii) Let E be a finite separable extension of K such that A is a flat $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. Then, the following diagram is cocartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^a(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{O}_E) \end{array}$$

Proof. By EGA IV 19.3.2, A is a relative complete intersection over $\mathcal{O}_K$. (i) follows from Proposition 6.1. (ii) follows from Proposition 5.8 for $r = +\infty$. In order to prove (iii), we apply Proposition 6.1 with $A := \mathcal{O}_E$ and $B := A$. Let $y, y' \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ with the same image in $\mathcal{F}^a(\mathcal{O}_E)$. So, there exists a geometric connected component U of $X_Z^a \times D^{m,a}$ that contains both of them. By Proposition 6.1, there exists a geometric connected component V of X_Z^a , and a finite flat morphism $V \rightarrow U$. Let $x, x' \in V(\Omega)$ be inverse images of respectively y and y' . Then $x, x' \in \mathcal{F}(L)$, and they have the same image in $\mathcal{F}^a(A)$. The Proposition follows. $\square$

Definition 6.3 Let A be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra such that A is a complete intersection ring and $L = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is étale over K . We say that the ramification of $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a if the map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a(A)$ is bijective.

Proposition 6.4 Let A be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra such that A is a complete intersection ring and $L = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is étale over K . For a real number $a > 0$, we put

$$\mathcal{F}^{a-}(A) = \lim_{0 < b < a} \mathcal{F}^b(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{a+}(A) = \lim_{b > a} \mathcal{F}^b(A),$$

where b denotes a rational number. Then,

- (i) $\mathcal{F}^a(A)$ is left-continuous and its jumps are rational, i.e., $\mathcal{F}^{a-}(A) = \mathcal{F}^a(A)$ if a is rational and $\mathcal{F}^{a-}(A) = \mathcal{F}^{a+}(A)$ if a is not rational. In particular, the number

$$c(A/\mathcal{O}_K) = \inf\{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} ; \mathcal{F}(L) \simeq \mathcal{F}^a(A)\}$$

is rational. We call it the conductor of the extension $A/\mathcal{O}_K$;

- (ii) The ramification of $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ is not bounded by $c(A/\mathcal{O}_K)$;
- (iii) The extension $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale if and only if $c(A/\mathcal{O}_K) = 0$.

Proof. (i) follows from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 6.2-(i). (ii) is a consequence of the left-continuity of $\mathcal{F}^a(A)$. (iii) follows from Lemma 3.2-ii), since $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale if and only if the map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(A, \overline{\Omega})$ is bijective. $\square$

Lemma 6.5 Let K' be a finite separable extension of K of ramification index e , contained in Ω . Let A be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebra, B be a finite flat $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -algebra, and $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras. For a rational number $a > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{K'}^a$, the functor constructed in Subsection 3.1 for finite flat $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -algebras. Then, we have a natural map

$$\mathcal{F}_{K'}^{ea}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a(A),$$

that is an isomorphism if $B = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$.

Assume furthermore that A and B are complete intersection rings, that $L = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} K$ is étale over K and $L' = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}} K'$ is étale over K' , and that the map $\text{Hom}_{K'}(L', \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$ induced by u is injective. If the ramification of $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , then the ramification of $B/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is bounded by ea

Proof. Let Z and Z' be finite systems of generators of, respectively, $A/\mathcal{O}_K$ and $B/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$, such that $u(Z) \subset Z'$. Let X_Z^a and $Y_{Z'}^{ea}$ be the affinoid varieties associated with, respectively, $(A/\mathcal{O}_K, Z)$ and $(B/\mathcal{O}_{K'}, Z')$. Then, the natural projection induces a rigid-analytic morphism $Y_{Z'}^{ea} \rightarrow X_Z^a \times_K K'$. We deduce a morphism $\mathcal{F}_{K'}^{ea}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^a(A)$. If $B = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$, we take $Z' = Z \otimes 1$. Hence, $Y_{Z'}^{ea} \simeq X_Z^a \times_K K'$.

We have a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{K'}(L', \Omega) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{F}_{K'}^{ea}(L') \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_K(L, \Omega) & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{F}^a(L) \end{array}$$

in which g is injective, and i is surjective. Since j is bijective, we deduce that i is also bijective. $\square$

6.1 Example : monogenic extensions

Let L be a finite separable extension of K of degree d . Assume its valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_L$ monogenic over $\mathcal{O}_K$, and fix $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[X]/P$ a monogenic presentation (so P is a monic polynomial of degree d). Let $z_1, \dots, z_d$ be the zeros of P in $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 6.6 *Let $a > 0$ be a rational number. The following are equivalent :*

(i) *the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a ;*

(ii) $\sum_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) + \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) < a$.

Proof. Notice that the left hand side of inequality (ii) does not depend on the numbering of the zeros of P because $\mathrm{Gal}(\Omega/K)$ acts transitively on them. First, assume that (ii) does not hold. Then $D(z_1, \theta^\alpha) \subset P^{-1}(D(0, \theta^a))$, where $\alpha = \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1)$. But $D(z_1, \theta^\alpha)$ is connected and contains at least two zeros of P . Therefore, the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is not bounded by a . Second, assume that (ii) holds. Let $y \in \mathcal{O}$ be such that $v(P(y)) \geq a$. We may assume that $v(y - z_1) \geq v(y - z_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq d$. Then,

$$v(P(y)) \leq v(y - z_1) + \sum_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1).$$

We deduce that $v(y - z_1) \geq \alpha + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the difference between the right and the left hand sides of inequality (ii). Hence, $P^{-1}(D(0, \theta^a)) = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq i \leq d} D(z_i, \theta^{\alpha+\varepsilon})$, and the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a . $\square$

An important example of monogenic valuation ring extensions is provided by unfiercely ramified extensions, i.e. finite separable extensions of local fields with separable residue extensions (see [12] III 6 Proposition 12). For these extensions, our theory gives the classical ramification theory developed in [12, 7], which we summarize below. Let L/K be a finite separable unfiercely ramified extension, $\mathcal{F}(L) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$, and $u \geq -1$ be a real number. We define an equivalence relation R_u on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ by

$$\sigma \equiv \tau \pmod{R_u} \Leftrightarrow v_L(\sigma - \tau) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{O}_L} v_L(\sigma(x) - \tau(x)) \geq u + 1,$$

where $v_L = rv$ and r is the ramification index of L/K . The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\Omega/K)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ and preserves the equivalence relation R_u . Hence, the equivalence classes have the same cardinality r_u . The Herbrand function $\varphi_{L/K} : [-1, +\infty) \rightarrow [-1, +\infty)$ is defined by

$$\varphi_{L/K}(u) = \int_0^u \frac{r_t}{r_0} dt.$$

Let $\psi_{L/K}$ be its inverse. The upper numbering equivalence relation on $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is defined by $R^f = R_{\psi_{L/K}(f)}$.

Proposition 6.7 *Let $f > -1$ be a rational number. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by $f + 1$;*
- (ii) *the equivalence relation R^f is trivial;*

Proof. Let $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{F}(L)$. For $\sigma \neq \sigma_0$, put $v(\sigma - \sigma_0) = \frac{1}{r}v_L(\sigma - \sigma_0)$. By [7] Proposition A.6.1, (ii) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\sigma \neq \sigma_0} v(\sigma - \sigma_0) + \sup_{\sigma \neq \sigma_0} v(\sigma - \sigma_0) < f + 1,$$

which is equivalent to (i) by Lemma 6.6. $\square$

6.2 Proofs of 3.3, 3.7 and 3.8

Theorem 3.3 follows from Proposition 6.2. Theorem 3.8 follows from Proposition 6.4-(i). Proposition 3.7-3) was proved in Subsection 6.1. We prove Proposition 3.7-2). The inclusion $G_{K'}^a \subset G^a$ is a consequence of Lemma 6.5. Assume that K'/K is unramified, and let L be a finite separable extension of K . Then by Lemma 6.5, we have $\mathcal{F}_{K'}^a(L \otimes_K K') = \mathcal{F}^a(L)$, so $\mathcal{F}(L)/G^a = \mathcal{F}(L)/G_{K'}^a$. We deduce that $G_{K'}^a = G^a$. Proposition 3.7-1) is a consequence of the following two Propositions.

Proposition 6.8 *Let L be a finite separable extension of K . The following are equivalent :*

- 1) *L/K is tamely ramified;*
- 2) *the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , for any rational number $a > 1$.*

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2). Follows from classical ramification theory. 2) $\Rightarrow$ 1). We assume first that $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is monogenic. Lemma 6.6 implies that

$$\sum_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) + \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) \leq 1$$

If $\sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) = 0$ then $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale. Otherwise, $v(\mathfrak{d}_L) = \sum_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) < 1$ where $\mathfrak{d}_L$ is the different of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$. We conclude using Proposition A.3.

The proof in the general case is by induction on the lexicographical order of $(v(\delta_L), s_L)$ (cf. Appendix for the notations). The result is trivial if $v(\delta_L) = 0$, and proved above if $s_L = 0$. Assume $s_L \neq 0$. By Lemma 6.5, we may assume the residue extension $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$ purely inseparable. Let K' be as in lemma A.1, and L' be a composed extension of L and K' . By Lemma 6.5, assumption 2) implies that the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is bounded by a for any $a > 1$. Since $(v(\delta_{L'}), s_{L'}) < (v(\delta_L), s_L)$ (cf. lemma A.1), we deduce that L'/K' is tamely ramified. Let e be the ramification index of L/K , e' be the ramification index of L'/K' , and r be the ramification index of L'/L , so $e' = er$. We have $\overline{K} \subset \overline{K}' \subset \overline{L} \subset \overline{L}'$, moreover $\overline{L}'/\overline{K}'$ is separable and $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$ is purely inseparable. It follows that $\overline{L} = \overline{K}'$. On the one hand $[L' : L] = r[\overline{L}' : \overline{L}]$ is a divisor of $[K' : K]$ which is a power of p . On the other hand, r is prime to p because so is e' . Hence $r = 1$. We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_L$ is étale.

Let F be the maximal sub-extension of L'/K such that $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale. Since $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$ is purely inseparable, then L and F are disjoint. Moreover, $[F : K]$ is the separable factor of $[\overline{L}' : \overline{K}]$ which is $[\overline{L}' : \overline{L}] = [L' : L]$. Hence, L' is the composed extension of L and F . By Proposition 6.4 (iii), the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by 1. We deduce that the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , for any $a > 1$. Therefore, the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , for any $a > 1$. But $\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is monogenic. Then K'/K is tamely ramified, which is a contradiction with *ii*) of Lemma A.1. $\square$

Proposition 6.9 *Let L be a finite separable extension of K . The following are equivalent :*

- 1) $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale;
- 2) the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , for any rational number $a > 0$;
- 3) the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by 1.

Proof. The equivalence between 1) and 2) was proved in Proposition 6.4-(iii). We prove that 3) implies 1). Assume that condition 3) holds true. Proposition 6.8 implies that L/K is tamely ramified and in particular the extension $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is monogenic. Let e be the ramification index of L/K and $\mathfrak{d}_L$ be a different of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$. Lemma 6.6 implies that

$$v(\mathfrak{d}_L) + \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) = (1 - \frac{1}{e}) + \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) < 1.$$

Thus $\sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) < 1/e$. If $e \neq 1$, then

$$v(\mathfrak{d}_L) \leq (e - 1) \sup_{i \neq 1} v(z_i - z_1) < \frac{e - 1}{e},$$

which is impossible. We deduce that $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale. $\square$

7 Deformation to the special fiber

Lemma 7.1 *Let L be a finite separable extension of K . Then $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a complete intersection over $\mathcal{O}_K$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_L \simeq \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : R = \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$ be a surjective morphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras, $I = \ker(\varphi)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_L)$. Let $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{I}$ be the $\mathfrak{m}$ -completions of R and I . We have $\mathcal{O}_L = \hat{R}/\hat{I}$. Then by EGA IV 19.3.2, there exist $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \hat{R}$ such that $\hat{I} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)\hat{R}$. Thus $I/\mathfrak{m}I$ is generated as an $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module by the residue classes of n equations $(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in I$. By Nakayama, we have $\hat{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_n)\hat{R}$. We put $D = R/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $H = I/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, so we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow 0$. By completion at $\mathfrak{m}$, we get that $\hat{H} = 0$. Hence

$$H/H^2 = H \otimes_D D/H = H \otimes_D \hat{D} = \hat{H} = 0.$$

We deduce that $H = H^2$ and $(1 - e)H = 0$ for some $e \in H$. Then $H = eD$, $e = e^2$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_L \simeq D/H \simeq D[X]/((1 - e)X - e) \simeq \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}]/(f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}),$$

where $f_{n+1} = (1 - \tilde{e})X_{n+1} - \tilde{e}$ and $\tilde{e} \in R$ is a lifting of e . $\square$

Let L be a finite separable extension of K . We fix a presentation of complete intersection

$$\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n),$$

and denote by $Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ the residue classes of $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Let $f : D^n \rightarrow D^n$ be the map defined by the equations $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, and for a rational number $a > 0$, let $f^a : X_Z^a \rightarrow D^{n,(a)}$ be the induced map. Let $\mathfrak{X}_Z^a$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{n,(a)}$) be the normalized integral model of X_Z^a (resp. $D^{n,(a)}$) over $\mathcal{O}$, and let $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_Z^a$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(a)}$) be its special fiber. By the finiteness theorem of Grauert and Remmert ([8] and [6] theorem 1.2), the map f^a extends to a finite morphism $f^a : \mathfrak{X}_Z^a \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n,(a)}$. We denote by $\overline{f}^a : \overline{\mathfrak{X}}_Z^a \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(a)}$ the induced morphism on the special fibers. In the sequel, we fix Z and drop it from the notation. The goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 7.2 *Assume that L/K is not tamely ramified, and let $c = c(L/K) > 1$. Then $f^c : \mathfrak{X}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$ is étale.*

Proposition 7.3 *Let e be the ramification index of L/K , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the positive integers such that $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_L/\pi_L^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{O}_L$. If $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is not étale, then $\alpha_i < ec(L/K)$ for all i .*

Proof. We may assume the residue extension $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$ purely inseparable. We fix a presentation as in Lemma 7.1, and denote by $f' \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$ the Jacobian matrix of the equations $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $J \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$ its residue class. Let $\sigma : \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be an embedding. To prove the Proposition, it is enough to prove that there exists a rational number $\gamma > 0$ such that if the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by $a > 0$, then $a > \gamma$ and $\pi^{a-\gamma} \mathcal{O}^n \subset \sigma(J) \mathcal{O}^n$. We need the following:

Lemma 7.4 *For any rational number $a > 0$, there exists a rational number $\alpha > 0$, such that $X^a \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(L)} (\sigma + D^{n,(\alpha)})$.*

Proof. Let $0 < b < a$ be a rational number, and consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^a & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X^b & \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} & \overline{\mathfrak{X}}^b \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f^b & & \downarrow \overline{f}^b \\ D^{n,(a)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D^{n,(b)} & \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} & \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(b)} \end{array}$$

Since $\overline{f}^b$ is finite and $\text{sp}(D^{n,(a)})$ is a closed point, then $\text{sp}(X^a)$ is a finite set of closed points. So, if we consider X^a as an affinoid sub-domain of D^n and denote by $\text{sp} : D^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^n$ the specialization map, then $\text{sp}(X^a)$ is also a finite set of closed points. The Lemma follows using the maximum modulus principle. $\square$

The assumption that $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$ is purely inseparable and Lemma 7.4 imply that there exists a rational number $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that $X^1 \subset \sigma + D^{n,(\gamma)}$. Let $a > 0$ be a rational number such that the ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a . Observe that $a > 1$ because $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is not étale (by Proposition 6.9). Let $s : D^{n,(a)} \rightarrow X^a$ be the section of f^a that maps 0 to σ , and ψ be the composite of s and the canonical embedding $X^a \subset D^n$. We write $\psi = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$, where $g_i = \sum_{\nu} a_{i,\nu} X^\nu \in \Omega[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ and satisfies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} v(a_{i,\nu}) + a|\nu| = +\infty$. The inclusion $X^1 \subset \sigma + D^{n,(\gamma)}$ implies that

$$|g_i - g_i(0)|_{\text{sup}} = \sup_{|\nu| \geq 1} |a_{i,\nu}| \theta^{a|\nu|} \leq \theta^\gamma.$$

Therefore $\psi'(0)(\pi^a \mathcal{O}^n) \subset \pi^\gamma \mathcal{O}^n$. Proposition 7.3 follows by observing that $\psi'(0)$ is the inverse of $\sigma(J)$. $\square$

Proposition 7.5 *Let e and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be as in Proposition 7.3, and let $a > 1$ be a rational number such that $ea > \alpha_i$ for all i . Then $f^a : X^a \rightarrow D^{n,(a)}$ is étale.*

Proof. Let $f' \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_K[X_1, \dots, X_n])$ be the Jacobian matrix of the equations $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, let $x \in X^a(\Omega)$ be a zero of $\det(f')$, and let $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O}$ be the associated morphism. Let π_L be a uniformizer of L and $v \in \mathcal{O}_L^*$ be a unit such that $\pi_L^e = v\pi$. Since $\varphi(\pi_L)^e = \varphi(v)\pi \pmod{\pi^a}$ and $a > 1$, then there exists a unit $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$ such that $\varphi(\pi_L) = u\pi_L \pmod{\pi^a}$. Since $\alpha_i < ea$ for all i , then

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}/\pi_L^{\alpha_i} \mathcal{O}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O} \simeq \text{Coker}(\mathcal{O}^n \xrightarrow{f'(x)} \mathcal{O}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O}.$$

Since the rank of the matrix $f'(x)$ is $\leq n-1$, then $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K}^1 \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O}$ has a direct summand isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}/\pi^a \mathcal{O}$. We get a contradiction with the assumption that $ea > \alpha_i$ for all i . We deduce that $\det(f'(x)) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X^a(\Omega)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7.2. The map $f^c : X^c \rightarrow D^{n,(c)}$ is étale by Propositions 7.3 and 7.5. Let $\text{sp} : X^c \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ be the specialization map, $\overline{0}$ be the center of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$, $X^{c+} = \bigcup_{b>c} X^b$, and $D^{n,(c+)} = \bigcup_{b>c} D^{n,(b)}$. Then $(f^c)^{-1}(\overline{0}) = \text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L)) \simeq \pi_0(X^{c+})$, and by definition of c , $\pi_0(X^{c+}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(L)$ and the map $f^{c+} : X^{c+} \rightarrow D^{n,(c+)}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed. Thus for any $x \in \text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))$, the completion of the local ring of $\mathfrak{X}^c$ at x is isomorphic to the completion of the local ring of $\mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$ at $\overline{0}$ (see [1] Section 6, or [3] Lemma 2.1). We deduce that $f^c : \mathfrak{X}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$ is étale above $\overline{0}$, and hence above the generic point of the closed fiber of $\mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$. Since $\mathfrak{X}^c$ is normal and $\mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$ is regular, then by Zariski's purity theorem $f^c : \mathfrak{X}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n,(c)}$ is étale. $\square$

The geometric monodromy induces an $\overline{\Omega}$ -linear action of the inertia group G^1 on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$.

Corollary 7.6 *The group G^c stabilizes the (geometric) connected components of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$, and the subgroup G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$.*

Proof. We know that G^c stabilizes the geometric connected components of X^c , and hence those of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$. By Lemma 7.7 below, G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ because $c > 1$. Let $\text{sp} : X^c \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ be the specialization map. Since $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L)) \simeq \pi_0(X^{c+}) = \mathcal{F}(L)$, then G^{c+} acts trivially on $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))$. Moreover the map $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ is étale, and each connected component of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ contains a point of $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))$. Then G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$. $\square$

Lemma 7.7 *For any rational number $a \geq 0$, the geometric monodromy induces a trivial action of G^{1+} on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(a)}$.*

Proof. Let E be a finite Galois extension of K containing an element of valuation a . We have $D_E^{n,(a)} = \text{Sp}(A_E)$, where

$$A_E = \left\{ \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu} X^{\nu} \in E[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]; \lim_{|\nu| \rightarrow +\infty} |a_{\nu}| \theta^{a|\nu|} = 0 \right\}.$$

The normalized integral model $\mathcal{D}^{n,(a)}$ of $D^{n,(a)}$ is defined over $\mathcal{O}_E$, and we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_E}^{n,(a)} = \text{Spf}(A')$ where

$$A' = \left\{ \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu} X^{\nu} \in A_E; \sup_{\nu} |a_{\nu}| \theta^{a|\nu|} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\Omega}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Then $G^{1+} = P$ is the kernel of the map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Omega^*/1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\Omega})$. Therefore, for $\sigma \in G^{1+}$ and $a \in E - \{0\}$, we have $|\sigma(a) - a| < |a|$. The Lemma follows. $\square$

Suppose further that L is a finite Galois extension of K contained in Ω . Let $G_L = \text{Gal}(\Omega/L)$, $G(L/K) = G/G_L$ be the Galois group of L/K , and $(G(L/K)^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$ be the quotient filtration of $(G^a, a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0})$.

Corollary 7.8 *Let H be a geometric connected component of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ and let $H \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ be the restriction of $\overline{f}^c$ to H . Then $H \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ is an étale Galois covering of group $G(L/K)^c$.*

Proof. We have $G(L/K)^c = G^c/G^c \cap G_L$. Let $\text{sp} : X^c \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ be the specialization map. The group $G^c \cap G_L$ acts trivially on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ (by Lemma 7.7), and on $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L)) \simeq \mathcal{F}(L)$. As in the proof of Corollary 7.6, we deduce that $G^c \cap G_L$ acts trivially on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$. Thus the action of G^c on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}^c$ factors through $G(L/K)^c$. Moreover, $G(L/K)^c$ acts transitively and freely on the fiber of $H \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{n,(c)}$ above the origin. The Corollary follows. $\square$

8 Ramification and Newton polygons

Let $f(X) = a_0 X^d + a_1 X^{d-1} + \dots + a_d \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ be a polynomial. Its Newton polygon $N_f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is the convex envelop of the set of points $(i, v(a_i))$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. We say that f has a

Newton polygon of type $0 = d_0 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_r = d$ if the slope of N_f is constant on $]d_i, d_{i+1}[$. The type of a Newton polygon can be described by a finite number of divisibility relations between monomials in the coefficients $a_0, \dots, a_d$. We denote these relations by $D(d_0, \dots, d_r; a_0, \dots, a_d)$.

Definition 8.1 *Let A be a commutative ring and $f(X) = a_0X^d + a_1X^{d-1} + \dots + a_d \in A[X]$ be a polynomial. We say that f has a Newton polygon of type $[d_0, \dots, d_r]$ if the divisibility relations $D(d_0, \dots, d_r; a_0, \dots, a_d)$ are satisfied.*

Let $K \subset L \subset M$ be finite separable extensions such that

- (a) M/K is not tamely ramified, so $c = c(M/K) > 1$;
- (b) $\mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{O}_L$ is not étale and has degree $[M : L] = p$;
- (c) $\mathcal{F}^c(M) \simeq \mathcal{F}^c(L)$.

We fix the presentations (by Lemma 7.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_L &= \mathcal{O}_K[y_1, \dots, y_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n) := \mathcal{O}_K[y]/(f), \\ \mathcal{O}_M &= \mathcal{O}_L[x]/q(x) = \mathcal{O}_K[y, x]/(f_1, \dots, f_n, g(y, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $y := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $g(y, x)$ is a lifting of $q(x)$ that is monogenic of degree p in x . We set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : D^n \times D &\longrightarrow D^n \times D \\ (y, x) &\longmapsto (y, g(y, x)). \end{aligned}$$

For a rational number $a > 0$, let $X^a \subset D^n \times D$ and $Y^a \subset D^n$ be the affinoid varieties associated respectively with M/K and L/K and the above presentations. We have $X^a = \psi^{-1}(Y^a \times D^{(a)})$. We denote by $\psi^a : X^a \rightarrow Y^a \times D^{(a)}$ the restriction of ψ .

Let $\mathfrak{X}^a$, $\mathfrak{Y}^a$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ be the normalized integral models of respectively X^a , Y^a and $D^{(a)}$ over $\mathcal{O}$. By the finiteness theorem of Grauert and Remmert, the map ψ^a extends to a finite map $\psi^a : \mathfrak{X}^a \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^a \times \mathcal{D}^{(a)}$.

Proposition 8.2 *The map $\psi^c : \mathfrak{X}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^c \times \mathcal{D}^{(c)}$ is étale.*

Proof. Indeed, the maps $\mathfrak{X}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n+1, (c)}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n, (c)}$ are étale by Theorem 7.2. $\square$

Let $Z^a = Y^a \times D^{(a)}$ and let $\mathcal{Z}^a = \mathfrak{Y}^a \times \mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ be its normalized integral model over $\mathcal{O}$. We denote by z the canonical coordinate on D , and also the induced function on $\mathcal{Z}^a$. We put $P(x) = g(y, x) \in \mathcal{O}_{Y^a}[x]$. Then we have

$$X^a = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^a}[x]/(P(x) - z)).$$

Let τ be the residue class of x , which is a function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^a}$.

Theorem 8.3 *The polynomial $P(x + \tau) - z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^c}[x]$ has a Newton polygon of type $[0, p-1, p]$. Moreover, $P'(\tau)\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^c} = \beta^{p-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}^c}$ for an element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ with $v(\beta) = b/p$, where $e_{L/K}b = c(M/L)$ and $e_{L/K}$ is the ramification index of L/K .*

Proof. Let $Y_\circ^c$ be a geometrically connected component of Y^c , $Z_\circ^c = Y_\circ^c \times D^{(b)}$, and $X_\circ^c$ be the geometrically connected component of X^c above it. Let K' be a finite separable extension of K over which $Y_\circ^c$, $Z_\circ^c$ and $X_\circ^c$ are defined as well as their normalized integral models $\mathfrak{Y}_\circ^c$, $\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c$ and $\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c$. By Theorem 7.2, the closed fibers $\overline{\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c}$ of $\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c$ are smooth. So they are geometrically reduced and irreducible because they are geometrically connected. Since $\psi^c : \mathfrak{X}_\circ^c \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\circ^c$ finite flat of degree p , then by Proposition 4.5 there exist a function ξ on $\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ such that $(\tau + \xi)/\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c}$, and $\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c \simeq \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c}[(\tau + \xi)/\beta])$.

Let $\gamma = (\tau + \xi)/\beta$ and let $F(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c}[X]$ be its characteristic polynomial. We proved in Proposition 4.5 that $\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c = \text{Spf}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_\circ^c}[X]/F)$. Since $P(X) - z$ is the characteristic polynomial of τ , then $\beta^p F(X) = P(\beta X - \xi) - z$. We deduce that $\beta^{p-1}F'(\gamma) = P'(\tau)$ and

$$\beta^p F(X + \gamma) = P(\beta X + \tau) - z.$$

Since $\psi^c : \mathfrak{X}_\circ^c \rightarrow Z_\circ^c$ is étale by Proposition 8.2, then $F'(\gamma)\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c}$ and $F(X + \gamma)$ has a Newton polygon of type $[0, p - 1, p]$. Therefore, $\beta^{p-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c} = P'(\tau)\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_\circ^c}$ and $P(X + \tau) - z$ has a Newton polygon of type $[0, p - 1, p]$. To see that $v(\beta) = b/p$, observe that $X_\circ^c$ contains a point of $\mathcal{F}(M)$, and $v(P'(\tau)) = (p - 1)v(\beta)$ is constant on $\mathcal{F}(M)$ equal to $(p - 1)b/p$. $\square$

Corollary 8.4 *Let $y \in Y^c(\Omega)$ and let α be a zero of the polynomial $g(y, X)$. Then $g(y, X + \alpha)$ has a Newton polygon of type $[0, p - 1, p]$ and slope b/p over $[0, p - 1]$, where $e_{L/K}b = c(M/L)$ and $e_{L/K}$ is the ramification index of L/K .*

Let b be as in Theorem 8.3, $Z^{c,b} = Y^c \times D^{(b)}$, $U = \psi^{-1}(Z^{c,b})$, and $u : U \rightarrow Z^{c,b}$ be the restriction of ψ . We put $Y^{c+} = \bigcup_{a > c} Y^a$, $D^{(b+)} = \bigcup_{a > b} D^{(a)}$, $Z^{c+,b+} = Y^{c+} \times D^{(b+)}$ and $U^+ = \psi^{-1}(Z^{c+,b+})$.

Proposition 8.5 *We have*

- a) $b \leq c$ and $X^c \subset U \subset X^b$;
- b) $\pi_0(U) \simeq \mathcal{F}^c(L) \simeq \mathcal{F}^c(M)$;
- c) the map $U^+ \rightarrow Z^{c+,b+}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed, and $\pi_0(U^+) \simeq \mathcal{F}(M)$.

Proof. Let $y \in Y^c(\Omega)$, $g(y, \cdot) : D^1 \rightarrow D^1$ be the map defined by the polynomial $g(y, X)$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ be a zero of $g(y, X)$. Corollary 8.4 implies that $U_y = g(y, \cdot)^{-1}(D^{(b)}) = D(g(y, X))$ is geometrically connected and $g(y, \cdot)^{-1}(D^{(b+)})$ is not geometrically connected.

a) By definition of c , the map $X^{c+} \rightarrow Y^{c+} \times D^{c+}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed. Therefore for any $y \in Y^{c+}(\Omega)$, $g(y, \cdot)^{-1}(D^{(c+)})$ is not geometrically connected. We deduce that $b \leq c$ and $X^c \subset U \subset X^b$.

b) The map $U \rightarrow Y^c \times D^{(b)}$ is finite flat, and the geometric fibers of the map $U \rightarrow Y^c$ are connected. Thus $\pi_0(U) \simeq \pi_0(Y^c) \simeq \mathcal{F}^c(L) \simeq \mathcal{F}^c(M)$.

c) Let $Y_\circ^{c+}$ be a geometric connected component of Y^{c+} , $C_\circ^{c+} = \psi^{-1}(Y_\circ^{c+} \times 0)$, and $U_\circ^+ = \psi^{-1}(Y_\circ^{c+} \times D^{(b+)})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\circ^{c+} & \longrightarrow & U_\circ^+ \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \text{pr}^+ \\ & & Y_\circ^{c+} \end{array}$$

The map $C_\circ^{c+} \rightarrow Y_\circ^{c+}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed. After a finite separable base change of K , let $s_1, \dots, s_p : Y_\circ^{c+} \rightarrow U_\circ^+$ be the sections of pr^+ obtained by splitting $C_\circ^{c+}$, and let $e = (0, 1) \in D^n \times D$. We claim that for every $1 \leq i \leq p$, the image of the open immersion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_i : Y_\circ^{c+} \times D^{(b/p+)} & \longrightarrow & D^n \times D \\ (y, z) & \longrightarrow & s_i(y) + ze \end{array}$$

is contained in $U_\circ^+$, and $U_\circ^+$ is the disjoint union of the images of ι_i . It is enough to check the claim above a point $y \in Y_\circ^{c+}(\Omega)$, then it follows from the fact that $g(y, X + \alpha)$ admits a Newton polygon of type $[0, p - 1, p]$ and slope b/p . Moreover, the maps $\psi \circ \iota_i : Y_\circ^{c+} \times D^{(b/p+)} \rightarrow Y_\circ^{c+} \times D^{(b+)}$ are isomorphisms. Thus $U^+ \rightarrow Y^{c+} \times D^{(b+)}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed, and the map $\pi_0(U^+) \rightarrow \pi_0(Y^{c+})$ is p to 1. The isomorphism $\pi_0(U^+) \simeq \mathcal{F}(M)$ follows because $\pi_0(Y^{c+}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(L)$. $\square$

Proposition 8.6 *The rigid map $u : U \rightarrow Z^{c,b}$ is étale.*

Proof. Let $g'_X(Y, X) = \frac{d}{dX}g(Y, X)$, and let $(y, x) \in U(\Omega)$ be such that $g'_X(y, x) = 0$. Since $U \subset X^b$, then (y, x) defines a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\pi^b\mathcal{O}$, and $y \in Y^c(\Omega)$ defines a

homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_K$ -algebras $\psi : \mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\pi^c \mathcal{O}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{O}/\pi^b \mathcal{O} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_L & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}/\pi^c \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

Let π_M (resp. π_L) be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_M$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_L$). Since $c > 1$, then there is a unit $v \in \mathcal{O}^*$ such that $\psi(\pi_L) = v\pi_L \pmod{\pi^c \mathcal{O}}$ (see the proof of Proposition 7.5). So $\varphi(\pi_L) = v\pi_L \pmod{\pi^b \mathcal{O}}$. We have $v(\pi_L) = 1/e_{L/K} < b = c(M/L)/e_{L/K}$ because M/L is not tamely ramified so $c(M/L) > 1$. Let $e = e_{M/L}$ and $t \in \mathcal{O}_M^*$ be such that $\pi_M^e = t\pi_L$. It follows that $\varphi(\pi_M)^e = \varphi(t)v\pi_L \pmod{\pi^b \mathcal{O}}$. Thus $\varphi(\pi_M) = u\pi_M \pmod{\pi^b \mathcal{O}}$ for a unit $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$.

We have $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{O}_L}^1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_M/\pi_M^\alpha \mathcal{O}_M$, where $v(\pi_M^\alpha) = \alpha/e_{M/K} = (p-1)b/p$. We deduce that

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{O}_L}^1 \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{O}/\pi^b \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}/\pi^{b(p-1)/p} \mathcal{O}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_M/\mathcal{O}_L}^1 \otimes_{\varphi} \mathcal{O}/\pi^b \mathcal{O} \simeq \text{Coker}(\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{g'_x(y,x)} \mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathcal{O}/\pi^b \mathcal{O} \simeq \mathcal{O}/\pi^b \mathcal{O}.$$

We get a contradiction because $b > 0$. □

Let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{Z}^{c,b} = \mathfrak{Y}^c \times \mathcal{D}^{(b)}$ be the normalized integral models of respectively U and $Z^{c,b}$ over $\mathcal{O}$. By the finiteness theorem of Grauert and Remmert, the map u extends to a finite map $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$.

Theorem 8.7 *The map $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is étale.*

Proof. We denote by $\text{sp} : U \rightarrow \overline{U}$ and $\text{sp} : Z^{c,b} \rightarrow \overline{Z}^{c,b}$ the specialization maps. We consider $\mathcal{F}(M) \subset U(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{F}(L) \subset Z^{c,b}(\Omega)$. Then $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L)) \simeq \pi_0(Z^{c+,b+})$, $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(M)) \simeq \pi_0(U^+)$, and $u^{-1}(\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))) = \text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(M))$. We have $\pi_0(Z^{c+,b+}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(L)$, $\pi_0(U^+) \simeq \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $U^+ \rightarrow Z^{c+,b+}$ is finite étale and geometrically totally decomposed. We deduce that $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is étale above the points $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))$. Since the special fiber of $\mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is smooth (by Theorem 7.2) and each of its geometric connected component contains a point of $\text{sp}(\mathcal{F}(L))$, then $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is étale above the generic points of the special fiber of $\mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$. Since $u : U \rightarrow Z^{c,b}$ is étale (by Proposition 8.6), $\mathcal{U}$ is normal, and $\mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is regular (by Theorem 7.2), then by Zariski's purity theorem $u : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{c,b}$ is étale. □

With the notation of the beginning of this section, we have

$$U = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^{c,b}}[x]/(P(x) - z)).$$

Let τ be the residue class of x , which is a function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$.

Proposition 8.8 *The polynomial $P(x + \tau) - z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}[x]$ has a Newton polygon of type $[0, p-1, p]$. Moreover, $P'(\tau)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} = \beta^{p-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ for an element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}$ with $v(\beta) = b/p$, where $e_{L/K}b = c(M/L)$ and $e_{L/K}$ is the ramification index of L/K .*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 8.3 when we replace Proposition 8.2 by Theorem 8.7. □

The geometric monodromy induces an $\overline{\Omega}$ -linear action of the inertia group G^1 on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}^c$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}^{(b)}$.

Corollary 8.9 *The group G^c stabilizes the (geometric) connected components of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, and the subgroup G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$.*

Proof. We know that G^c stabilizes the geometric connected components of X^c . Thus it stabilizes those of U because $\pi_0(X^c) = \pi_0(U)$, and hence also those of $\overline{U}$. By Corollary 7.6 and Lemma 7.7, G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}^c \times \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{(b)}$. The group G^{c+} acts also trivially on $\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{F}(M)) \simeq \pi_0(U^+) = \mathcal{F}(M)$. Since the map $\overline{U} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{Y}}^c \times \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{(b)}$ is étale and each connected component of $\overline{U}$ contains a point of $\mathrm{sp}(\mathcal{F}(M))$, then G^{c+} acts trivially on $\overline{U}$. $\square$

By Corollary 8.4, we have a well defined K -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} U \times D^{(b/p)} &\longrightarrow U \times_{Y^c} U \\ ((y, x), z) &\longmapsto ((y, x), (y, x + z)) \end{aligned}$$

that makes U as a $D^{(b/p)}$ -torsor on Y^c . The normalized integral model of $U \times D^{(b/p)}$ over $\mathcal{O}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{D}^{(b/p)}$. Theorem 8.7 implies that the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}^c$ is smooth. Then the normalized integral model of $U \times_{Y^c} U$ over $\mathcal{O}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}^c} \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{D}^{(b/p)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \times_{\mathfrak{Y}^c} \mathcal{U}$$

that makes $\mathcal{U}$ as a $\mathcal{D}^{(b/p)}$ -torsor on $\mathfrak{Y}^c$. The induced isomorphism of special fibers

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}} \times \overline{\mathcal{D}}^{(b/p)} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}} \times_{\overline{\mathfrak{Y}}^c} \overline{\mathcal{U}} \quad (5)$$

is equivariant for the geometric monodromy action of G^1 .

9 The logarithmic ramification filtration

Proposition 9.1 *Let L be a finite separable extension of K , and (Z, I, P) be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let L' be a finite Galois extension of L . There exist*

- (i) *(Z', I', P') a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $Z' \supset Z$, so we can identify I with a subset of I' , and $P' \supset P$. We put $J = I' - I$;*
- (ii) *a rigid-analytic morphism $\varphi : D^{I'} \longrightarrow D^I \times_K D^J$, where the first factor is the canonical projection;*

such that

- a) *φ is finite and flat of degree $[L' : L]$;*
- b) *$\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(L) \times \{0\}) = \mathcal{F}(L')$ and φ is étale at every point in $\mathcal{F}(L')$;*
- c) *for any rational number $a > 0$, there exist positive rational numbers $(a_j)_{j \in J}$ such that*

$$Y_{Z', P'}^a = \varphi^{-1}(Y_{Z, P}^a \times \prod_{j \in J} D^{1, (a_j)}).$$

Proof. Let $L \subset L' \subset L''$ is a tower of finite Galois extensions of L . If we prove the Proposition for L'/L and L''/L' , then we deduce it for L''/L . Thus, we proceed by induction on $[L' : L]$. We may assume that either $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_L$ is étale, or $d = [L' : L]$ is prime and the residue extension $\overline{L'}/\overline{L}$ is purely inseparable because the inertia group is solvable. In both cases, the extension $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_L$ is monogenic generated by $z' \in \mathcal{O}_{L'}$.

First, we assume that the ramification index of L'/L is 1. Then z' is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$. We take $I' = I \amalg \{z'\}$ and $P' = P$. Let $F(X') \in \mathcal{O}_L[X']$ be the minimal polynomial of z' over $\mathcal{O}_L$, and $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}][X']$ be a monic polynomial of degree $[L' : L]$ in X' which lifts F . We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : D^{I'} = D^I \times D^1 &\longrightarrow D^I \times D^1 \\ (x, x') &\longmapsto (x, \tilde{F}(x, x')) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the required properties. Indeed, $Y_{Z',P'}^a = \varphi^{-1}(Y_{Z,P}^a \times D^{1,(a)})$.

Second, we assume that L'/L is totally ramified. Then, we may assume that z' is a uniformizer of L' . Let $\iota \in P$ be such that z_ι is a uniformizer of L . Since the minimal polynomial $F(X')$ of z' over $\mathcal{O}_L$ is Eisenstein, then there exist $a_{d-1}, \dots, a_0 \in \mathcal{O}_L$ with $v(a_0) = 0$, such that

$$F(X') = X'^d + z_\iota(a_{d-1}X'^{d-1} + \dots + a_0) \in \mathcal{O}_L[X'].$$

We take $I' = I \amalg \{z'\}$ and $P' = P \amalg \{z'\}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, we fix $A_i \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ a lifting of a_i . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : D^{I'} = D^I \times D^1 &\longrightarrow D^I \times D^1 \\ (x, x') &\longmapsto (x, x'^d + x_\iota \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} A_i(x) x'^i) \end{aligned}$$

Properties a) and b) are clearly satisfied. For c), we claim that

$$Y_{Z',P'}^a = \varphi^{-1}(Y_{Z,P}^a \times D^{1,(a+1/e)}) \quad (6)$$

where e be the ramification index of L/K . Let $e_i = v_L(z_i)$ (for $i \in I$), and put $X = (X_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(X, X') = (X_i)_{i \in I'}$. We fix liftings $g(X)$ of z_ι^e/π and $h_i(X)$ of $z_i/z_\iota^{e_i}$. The unit z^d/z_ι lifts to the polynomial $h(X, X') = -\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} A_i(X)X'^i$. We choose a lifting $k(X, X')$ of the unit z_ι/z^d . Then, $\tilde{g}(X, X') = g(X)h(X, X')^e$ is a lifting of z^{de}/π , and $\tilde{h}_i(X, X') = h_i(X)k(X, X')^{e_i}$ is a lifting of z_i/z^{de_i} (for $i \in P$). We denote by Y the right hand side of (6). Obviously $Y \subset X_{Z'}^a$. We prove the other relations. Let $(x, x') \in Y(\Omega)$. We have $x'^d = x_\iota(h(x, x') + \pi^a*)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{de} &= x_\iota^e(h(x, x') + \pi^a*)^e = \pi(g(x) + \pi^a*)(h(x, x') + \pi^a*)^e \\ &= \pi(g(x)h(x, x')^e + \pi^a*) = \pi\tilde{g}(x, x') + \pi^{a+1}* \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(X, X')k(X, X')$ lifts 1, then $|h(x, x')k(x, x') - 1| \leq \theta^a$. Observe that $v_{L'}(x') = 1$. Thus, $x_\iota = x'^d(k(x, x') + \pi^a*)$. Then, for $i \in P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= x_\iota^{e_i}(h_i(x) + \pi^a*) = x'^{de_i}(k(x, x') + \pi^a*)^{e_i}(h_i(x) + \pi^a*) \\ &= x'^{de_i}(k(x, x')^{e_i}h_i + \pi^a*) = x'^{de_i}\tilde{h}_i(x, x') + \pi^{a+e_i/e}* \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3) that $Y \subset Y_{Z',P'}^a$. The converse is obvious. $\square$

Corollary 9.2 *Let L be a finite Galois extension of K . There exist (Z, I, P) a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $f : D^I \rightarrow D^I$ a rigid-analytic morphism, such that the following properties hold :*

- (i) f is finite and flat of degree $[L : K]$;
- (ii) $f^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{F}(L)$ and f is étale above 0;
- (iii) for any rational number $a > 0$, there exist positive rational numbers $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ such that

$$Y_{Z,P}^a = f^{-1}\left(\prod_{i \in I} D^{1,(a_i)}\right).$$

Proposition 9.3 *Let $K \subset E \subset L$ be finite separable extensions. For a real number $a > 0$, we put*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\log}^{a-}(L) = \varprojlim_{0 < b < a} \mathcal{F}_{\log}^b(L) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\log}^{a+}(L) = \varinjlim_{b > a} \mathcal{F}_{\log}^b(L),$$

where b denotes a rational number.

- (i) For a rational number $a > 0$, the map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L)$ is surjective.
- (ii) The map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}} \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L)$ is bijective.

- (iii) $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^{a-}(L) = \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L)$ if a is rational, and $\mathcal{F}_{\log}^{a-}(L) = \mathcal{F}_{\log}^{a+}(L)$ if a is not rational.
(iv) The following diagram is cocartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(E) \end{array}$$

Proof. (i) Let L'/K be a Galois closure of L/K . In the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(L') & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L') \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{F}(L) & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L) \end{array}$$

i is surjective by Corollary 9.2, and g is surjective by Proposition 9.1. Thus, j is surjective. (ii) follows from Proposition 5.8 for $r = +\infty$. (iii) follows from Theorem 5.1 and (i).

We prove (iv). Let L' be the Galois closure of L/E . It is enough to prove the Proposition after replacing L/E by L'/E . Hence, we may assume L/E Galois. Then, the proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 6.2 (iii), if we use Proposition 9.1 instead of Proposition 6.1. $\square$

Definition 9.4 Let L be a finite separable extension of K , and $a > 0$ be a rational number. We say that the logarithmic ramification of L/K is bounded by a if the map $\mathcal{F}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L)$ is bijective.

Proposition 9.5 The number

$$c_{\log}(L/K) = \inf\{a \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} ; \mathcal{F}(L) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\log}^a(L)\}$$

is rational, and the logarithmic ramification of L/K is not bounded by $c_{\log}(L/K)$.

Proof. The same as Proposition 6.4 (i) and (ii). $\square$

9.1 Base change

Let K' be a finite separable extension of K of ramification index m . Let L be a finite separable extension of K , and L' be a finite separable extension of K' , such that $L \subset L'$.

Lemma 9.6 Let (Z, I, P) and (Z', I', P') be logarithmic systems of generators of respectively $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$. Let $a > 0$ be a rational number, and let $Y_{Z,P}^a \subset D_K^I$ and $Y_{Z',P'}^a \subset D_{K'}^{I'}$ be the affinoid varieties associated respectively with $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$. Assume that $Z \subset Z'$, so we can identify I with a subset of I' , and that $P \subset P'$. Then the canonical projection $D_{K'}^{I'} \rightarrow D_K^I$ induces a rigid-analytic morphism

$$Y_{Z',P'}^{ma} \longrightarrow Y_{Z,P}^a \times_K K'. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let $x' = (x_i)_{i \in I'} \in Y_{Z',P'}^{ma}(\Omega)$ and put $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$. Obviously, we have $x \in X_Z^a(\Omega)$. We prove the other relations. Let e be the ramification index of L/K , e' be the ramification index of L'/K' , and r be the ramification index of L'/L . Let $e'_i = v_{L'}(z_i)$ (for $i \in P'$) and $e_i = v_L(z_i)$ (for $i \in P$). We have $re = me'$ and $re_i = e'_i$ for $i \in P$. We denote by π' a uniformizer of K' , put $u = \pi'^m/\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}^*$, and fix $\iota \in P'$ such that z_ι is a uniformizer of L' . We choose $g \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I'}]$ a lifting of $z_\iota^{e'}/\pi'$,

$h_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I'}]$ a lifting of $z_i/z_l^{e'_i}$ (for $i \in P'$), and $k_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I'}]$ a lifting of $z_l^{e'_i}/z_i$ (for $i \in P'$). Let $i \in P$. We have $x_i = x_l^{r_{e_i}}(h_i(x') + \pi^{ma}*)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^e &= x_l^{r_{e_i}e_i}(h_i(x') + \pi^a*)^e = x_l^{me'_i e_i}(h_i(x') + \pi^a*)^e \\ &= \pi^{me_i}(g(x') + \pi^a*)^{me_i}(h_i(x') + \pi^a*)^e = \pi^{e_i}u^{e_i}g(x')^{me_i}h_i(x')^e + \pi^{a+e_i}* \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ be a lifting of z_i^e/π^{e_i} . Since

$$\frac{z_i^e}{\pi^{e_i}} = \left(\frac{z_i}{z_l^{r_{e_i}}}\right)^e \left(\frac{z_l^{e'_i}}{\pi'}\right)^{me_i} \left(\frac{\pi'^m}{\pi}\right)^{e_i},$$

then $u^{e_i}g^{me_i}h_i^e \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I'}]$ lifts z_i^e/π^{e_i} . Hence $|u^{e_i}g(x')^{me_i}h_i(x')^e - \bar{g}(x)| \leq \theta^a$. We deduce that $x_i^e = \pi^{e_i}\bar{g}(x) + \pi^{a+e_i}*$. Let $(i, j) \in P^2$. We have $x_j = x_l^{r_{e_j}}(h_j(x') + \pi^a*)$ and $x_l^{r_{e_i}} = x_i(k_i(x') + \pi^a*)$. Therefore,

$$x_j^{e_i} = x_l^{r_{e_i}e_j}(h_j(x') + \pi^a*)^{e_i} = x_i^{e_j}(k_i(x') + \pi^a*)^{e_j}(h_j(x') + \pi^a*)^{e_i} = x_i^{e_j}k_i(x')^{e_j}h_j(x')^{e_i} + \pi^{a+e_i e_j/e}*$$

Let $\bar{h}_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_j)_{j \in I}]$ be a lifting of $x_j^{e_i}/x_i^{e_j}$. Since

$$\frac{z_j^{e_i}}{z_i^{e_j}} = \left(\frac{z_j}{z_l^{r_{e_j}}}\right)^{e_i} \left(\frac{z_l^{r_{e_i}}}{z_i}\right)^{e_j},$$

then $h_j^{e_i}k_i^{e_j}$ lifts $x_j^{e_i}/x_i^{e_j}$. Hence, $|h_j(x')^{e_i}k_i(x')^{e_j} - \bar{h}_{i,j}(x)| \leq \theta^a$. We deduce that $x_j^{e_i} = x_i^{e_j}\bar{h}_{i,j}(x) + \pi^{a+e_i e_j/e}*$. $\square$

Lemma 9.7 *We fix an embedding of K' in Ω , and assume that the natural map $\text{Hom}_{K'}(L', \Omega) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(L, \Omega)$ is injective. For a rational number $a > 0$, if the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a , then the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is bounded by ma .*

Proof. The same as Lemma 6.5. $\square$

Proposition 9.8 *Assume that K'/K is tamely ramified. Let $(L_j)_{j \in J}$ be finite separable extensions of K' such that $L \otimes_K K' \simeq \prod_{j \in J} L_j$. The following are equivalent :*

- (i) *the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a ;*
- (ii) *the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{L_j}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is bounded by ma for one $j \in J$;*
- (iii) *the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{L_j}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a for one $j \in J$.*

We prove the proposition in several steps.

Lemma 9.9 *Let E be a finite separable extension of L such that $\mathcal{O}_E/\mathcal{O}_L$ is étale. Then Proposition 9.8 holds for L/K if and only if it holds for E/K .*

Proof. Observe that the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_E/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a if and only if the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by a . One implication is obvious. Let E_1 be the maximal sub-extension of E/K such that $\mathcal{O}_{E_1}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale. Then E is a composed extension of L and E_1 . Since the logarithmic ramification of $\mathcal{O}_{E_1}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is bounded by any rational number $b > 0$, we get the other implication. The Lemma follows by applying this equivalence twice (over K and over K'). $\square$

Lemma 9.10 *Proposition 9.8 holds if $\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from Lemma 9.7. (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is obvious. It is enough to prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) after replacing K' by a finite extension K'' such that $\mathcal{O}_{K''}/\mathcal{O}_K$ is étale. So, we may assume that K'/K is Galois of group H . By Lemma 9.9, we may assume that L contains K' . Then $J = H$, and for $\sigma \in H$, $L_\sigma = L$ equipped with the K' -algebra structure twisted by σ .

We fix a monogenic presentation $\mathcal{O}_{K'} = \mathcal{O}_K[X]/P$, and denote by z the image of X . Let $(Z = (z_i)_{i \in I}, I, P)$ be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$. We may assume that there exists $\rho \in I - P$ such that $z_\rho = z$. Let $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]/I_Z$ be the presentation associated with Z . We have

$$L_\sigma = \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]/I_Z = \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I}]/(I_Z, X_\rho - \sigma^{-1}(z))$$

Let Y^a and Y_σ^a be the affinoid associated respectively with $(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K, Z)$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{L_\sigma}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}, Z)$. We put $Y = Y^a \times_K K'$. Then $Y_\sigma^a = Y(\theta^{-a}(X_\rho - \sigma^{-1}(z)))$. Let $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in Y(\Omega)$. Since $P(X_\rho) \in I_Z$, then

$$v(P(x_\rho)) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} v(x_\rho - \sigma^{-1}(z)) \geq a.$$

We deduce that there exists a unique $\sigma \in G$ such that $v(x_\rho - \sigma^{-1}(z)) \geq a$. For $\sigma' \neq \sigma$, $v(x_\rho - \sigma'^{-1}(z)) = 0$. It follows that the Y_σ^a , for $\sigma \in H$, are disjoint and cover Y . To finish the proof, observe that H acts naturally on Y , and permutes transitively the Y_σ^a for $\sigma \in H$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 9.8. There exist finite separable extensions K_1/K and K'_1/K' with $K_1 \subset K'_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1 & \longrightarrow & K'_1 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K & \longrightarrow & K' \end{array}$$

such that : a) $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}/\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K'_1}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ are étale; b) $K'_1 = K_1[X]/(X^m - \pi_1)$ where π_1 is a uniformizer of K_1 ; and c) $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}$ contains a primitive m -th root of unity. By applying Lemma 9.10 to K_1/K and to K'_1/K' , we are reduced to prove the Proposition under the assumption that $K' = K[X]/(X^m - \pi)$ and $\mathcal{O}_K$ contains a primitive m -th root of unity. It is enough to prove that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Let $\pi' \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ be the image of X , π_L be a uniformizer of L and $u = \pi/\pi_L^e$. By Lemma 9.9, we may assume that there exists $v \in \mathcal{O}_L$ such that $v^m = u$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'} = \mathcal{O}_L[X]/(X^m - \pi) = \mathcal{O}_L[X]/(X^m - u\pi_L^e) \simeq \mathcal{O}_L[T]/(T^m - \pi_L^e)$$

Let $d = (m, e)$, $m = dm'$ and $e = de'$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}\}' &\simeq \prod_{\xi \in \mu_d(\mathcal{O}_K)} \{\mathcal{O}_L[T]/(T^{m'} - \xi\pi_L^{e'})\}' \simeq \prod_{\xi \in \mu_d(\mathcal{O}_K)} \{\mathcal{O}_L[T]/(T^{m'} - \pi_L^{e'})\}' \\ &\simeq \prod_{\xi \in \mu_d(\mathcal{O}_K)} \mathcal{O}_L[T]/(T^{m'} - \pi_L) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\}'$ denotes the normalization. For $\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we put $L_\xi = L[T]/(T^{m'} - \pi_L)$ equipped with the morphism of K -algebras

$$K' = K[X]/(X^m - \pi) \longrightarrow L[T]/(T^{m'} - \pi_L)$$

given by $X \mapsto \xi v T^{e'}$. Obviously, the extensions $(L_\xi/K)_{\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)}$ and $(L_j/K)_{j \in J}$ are all isomorphic. The set of isomorphism classes of the extensions $(L_\xi/K')_{\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)}$ is equal to the set of isomorphism classes of the extensions $(L_j/K')_{j \in J}$.

Let (Z, I, P) be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$. We may assume that there exists $\iota \in P$ such that $\pi_L = z_\iota$. Let $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]/I_Z$ be the presentation associated with Z . For $\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{L_\xi} &= \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}, T]/(I_Z, T^{m'} - X_\iota) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{K'}[(X_i)_{i \in I}, T]/(I_Z, T^{m'} - X_\iota, \pi' - \xi v T^{e'}) \end{aligned}$$

The image t of T in $\mathcal{O}_{L_\xi}$ is a uniformizer of L_ξ , and the ramification index of L_ξ/K' is e' . We take $Z' = Z \amalg \{t\}$, $I' = I \amalg \{t\}$ and $P' = P \amalg \{t\}$. Then (Z', I', P') is a logarithmic system of generators for both $\mathcal{O}_{L_\xi}/\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L_\xi}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$. Let

$$\varphi_\xi : Y_\xi := Y_{Z', P'}^a \longrightarrow Y := Y_{Z', P'}^a \times_K K'$$

be the morphism (7) associated with the extensions L_ξ/K and L_ξ/K' . In the sequel, the variable T is replaced by X_t . Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_K[(X_i)_{i \in I}]$ be a lifting of $v \in \mathcal{O}_L^*$. By definition, there exist affinoid functions $f_1, \dots, f_r$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= D^{I'}(f_1, \dots, f_r, \theta^{-a-1}(\pi - g^m X_t^{me'})) \\ Y_\xi &= D^{I'}(f_1, \dots, f_r, \theta^{-a-1/m}(\pi' - \xi g X_t^{e'})) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Y_\xi = Y(\theta^{-a-1/m}(\pi' - \xi g X_t^{e'}))$. Let $x' = (x_i)_{i \in I'} \in \mathcal{Y}(\Omega)$ and put $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$. For $\xi \neq \xi'$ in $\mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we have $v((\xi - \xi')g(x)x_t^{e'}) = 1/m$. Since

$$v(\pi - g(x)^m x_t^{me'}) = \sum_{\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)} v(\pi' - \xi g(x)x_t^{e'}) \geq a + 1,$$

then, there exists a unique $\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $v(\pi' - \xi g(x)x_t^{e'}) \geq a + 1/m$. For $\xi' \neq \xi$, $v(\pi' - \xi' g(x)x_t^{e'}) = 1/m$. We deduce that the Y_ξ , for $\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$, are disjoint and cover Y . To finish the proof, observe that $\text{Gal}(K'/K) = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ acts naturally on Y , and permutes transitively the Y_ξ for $\xi \in \mu_m(\mathcal{O}_K)$. $\square$

9.2 Proofs of 3.11, 3.15 and 3.16

Theorem 3.11 Follows from Proposition 9.3 (i), (ii) and (iv). Theorem 3.16 follows from Proposition 9.3 (iii). We prove now Proposition 3.15. We omit the proof of the following easy Lemma.

Lemma 9.11 *Let L be a finite separable extension of K , (Z, I, P) be a logarithmic system of generators of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\#P = 1$, and $a > 0$ be a rational number. Then,*

- (i) $X_Z^{a+1} \subset Y_{Z, P}^a \subset X_Z^a$.
- (ii) *if moreover $Z = \{x\}$, and x is a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_L$, then $Y_{Z, P}^a = X_Z^{a+1}$.*

Proposition 3.15-3) is a consequence of Lemma 9.7 and Proposition 9.8. By Lemma 9.11-(ii), and unramified base change, Proposition 3.15-4) reduces to Proposition 3.7-3). Proposition 3.15-1) is a consequence of Lemma 9.11-(i). We prove Proposition 3.15-2). By Propositions 3.7-1) and 3.15-1), we have $G_{\log}^{0+} \supset G^{1+} = P$, where P is the wild inertia subgroup of G . For the converse, we consider a tamely ramified finite extension L/K . By applying Proposition 9.8 with $K' = L$, we deduce that $c_{\log}(L/K) = 0$. $\square$

A Eliminating fierce ramification

Let L be a finite separable extension of K , $\mathfrak{d}_L \in \mathcal{O}_L$ be a different of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ (i.e. a generator of the different defined in [12] III), $\delta_L \in \mathcal{O}_K$ be a discriminant of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_K$ (i.e. a generator of the discriminant defined in [12] III), and p^{s_L} be the inseparable degree of the residue extension $\overline{L}/\overline{K}$.

Lemma A.1 *Assume $s_L \neq 0$, and let $x \in \overline{L}$ be a radicial element over $\overline{K}$. There exists a finite separable extension K' of K such that*

- i) $\pi = \pi_K$ is a uniformizing element of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$;
- ii) $\overline{K'} = \overline{K}[x]$;

iii) for any composed extension L' of L and K' , the following inequality holds in the lexicographical order

$$(v(\delta_{L'}), s_{L'}) < (v(\delta_L), s_L),$$

where $\delta_{L'} \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a discriminant of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\mathcal{O}_{K'}$, and $p^{s_{L'}}$ is the inseparable degree of its residue extension.

Proof. Let $\bar{f}(X) = X^{p^e} - x^{p^e} \in \bar{K}[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of x , and $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[X]$ be a separable monic lifting of degree p^e . By [12] I Corollary 1 of Proposition 15, $K' = K[X]/f$ is a finite separable field extension of K with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{K'} = \mathcal{O}_K[X]/f$, and residue field $\bar{K}[x]$.

Since $\bar{K}'/\bar{K}$ is purely inseparable, then $A = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is a local ring. Let L' be a composed extension of L and K' . If $\mathcal{O}_{L'} \neq A$, then $v(\delta_{L'}) < v(\delta_L)$ and we are done. If $\mathcal{O}_{L'} = A$, then the canonical surjective map

$$\bar{L} \otimes_{\bar{K}} \bar{K}[x] = \bar{L}[X]/(X^{p^e} - x^{p^e}) = \bar{L}[X]/(X - x)^{p^e} \longrightarrow \bar{L}'$$

shows that $\bar{L}' \simeq \bar{L}$. Therefore, $s_{L'} < s_L$. $\square$

Corollary A.2 *There exist a finite separable extension K' of K and a composed extension L' of L and K' , such that*

- i) $\pi = \pi_K$ is a uniformizing element of K' ;
- ii) L'/K' is unfiercely ramified.

Proof. An extension L/K with $v(\delta_L) = 0$ or $s_L = 0$ is obviously unfierce. Since $v(\delta_L)$ and s_L are non-negative integers, the Corollary follows by applying Lemma A.1 finitely many times. $\square$

Proposition A.3 *For a finite separable extension L/K of ramification index e , we have $v_L(\mathfrak{d}_L) \geq e - 1$. The equality holds if and only if L/K is tamely ramified.*

Proof. Let $J = \mathfrak{D}_L^{-1}$ be the largest fractional ideal of L such that $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(J) = \mathcal{O}_K$. Then $J' = J\mathfrak{m}_K$ is the largest fractional ideal such that $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(J') = \mathfrak{m}_K$. Hence $I = J\mathfrak{m}_K\mathfrak{m}_L^{-1}$ is the smallest fractional ideal of L satisfying $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(I) \supset \mathcal{O}_K$. Its inverse $\mathfrak{D}'_L = \mathfrak{d}_L\mathfrak{m}_K^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_L$ is an integral ideal. So we have the inequality $v_L(\mathfrak{d}_L) \geq e - 1$. The equality is equivalent to that $\mathcal{O}_L$ is the smallest fractional ideal I of L such that $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(I) = \mathcal{O}_K$. Since $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\mathfrak{m}_L) \subset \mathfrak{m}_K$, the equality is further equivalent to that the map $\bar{L} = \mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}_L \rightarrow \bar{K} = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$ induced by $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ is non trivial. The induced map is equal to $e \times \text{Tr}_{\bar{L}/\bar{K}}$ and is non-zero if and only if e is prime to the residue characteristic and $\bar{L}$ is separable over $\bar{K}$. $\square$

References

- [1] S. BOSCH, *Eine bemerkenswerte Eigenschaft der formellen Fasern affinoider Räume*, Math. Ann. **229** (1977), 25–45.
- [2] S. BOSCH, U. GÜNTZER, R. REMMERT, *Non-Archimedean Analysis*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics **261**, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [3] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, *Stable reduction and uniformization of Abelian varieties I*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 349–379.
- [4] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, *Formal and rigid geometry, I. Rigid spaces*, Math. Ann. **295** (1993), 291–317.
- [5] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, M. RAYNAUD, *Formal and rigid geometry, III. The relative maximum principal*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), 1–29.
- [6] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT, M. RAYNAUD, *Formal and rigid geometry, IV. The reduced fiber theorem*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), 361–398.

- [7] P. DELIGNE, *Les corps locaux de caractéristique p limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0*, in *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Travaux en Cours, Hermann Paris, 1984.
- [8] H. GRAUERT, R. REMMERT, *Über die methode der diskret bewerteten ringe in der nicht-archimedischen analysis*, Invent. Math. **2** (1966), 87-133.
- [9] A. J. de JONG, *Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry*, Publ. Math. IHES **82** (1995), 5-96.
- [10] K. KATO, *Swan conductors for characters of degree one in the imperfect residue field case*, Contemporary Math. **83** (1989), 101-131.
- [11] J. P. MURRE, *Lectures on an introduction to Grothendieck's theory of the fundamental group*, Tata Inst. of Fund. Res. Bombay (1967).
- [12] J.-P. SERRE, *Corps locaux*, Hermann Paris, 1968.

CNRS UMR 7539, LAGA, Institut Galilée, Université Paris-Nord, 93430 Villetaneuse, France
 E-mail: abbes@math.univ-paris13.fr

Department of Mathematics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113 Japan
 E-mail: t-saito@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp